

Charge:

To evaluate the effectiveness and ramifications of the current process for nominations to AAPM offices and to the Nominating Committee and make recommendations for improving the process. The committee's considerations shall include, but not be limited to, processes that should be expected to yield the following:

1. The nomination process should result in officers, members of the Board and members of the Nominating Committee that, over time, that reflect the diversity of the Association. No limited discipline or disciplines should decide, based on number of members, the leadership of the Association. The distribution should include the representation specified in the recent change of bylaws establishing seats on the Nominating Committee designated for:
 - a. Academic therapy physicist,
 - b. Community practice therapy physicist,
 - c. Academic imaging physicist, and
 - d. Community Practice imaging physicist,But also look to other practice disciplines, for example medical health physics or nuclear medical physics and not overlook those medical physicists who work in several disciplines.
2. The nomination process should be sufficiently flexible to also engage medical physicists working in newer disciplines, such as microscopy, not represented in the current Nominating Committee structure.
3. The nomination process should result in officers and members of the Board that, over time, represents the overall diversity of the Association.
4. The nominating process shall allow nominations from membership, but consider the requirements for such nominations, such as the minimum percentage of members making the nomination, that could apply as the organization changes over time.