### ACCREDITATION

Prabhakar Tripuraneni, MD, FACR, FASTRO Head, Radiation Oncology Scripps Clinic, La Jolla, CA

#### DISCLOSURES

- Chief Medical Officer, Viewray Inc.,
   Oakwood Village, OH
- VP, International Business
   Development, Vantage Oncology,
   Manhattan Beach, CA

 □ Co chair (ASTRO), Rad Onc Accreditation Committee, IHE-RO Task Force

### **OBJECTIVES**

- **■** What?
- Why?
- Who?
- When?
- Where?
- How?

#### **ACCREDITATION**

- Surveyors?
- **■** Practice Accreditation?

#### ACCREDITATION

- Accreditation is a process in which certification of competency, authority, or credibility is presented.
- Complete beginning to end review of processes, human, clinical and technical parameters.
- The only complete comprehensive evaluation of practice program.

### ACR ACCREDITATION

- Imaging Modalities:
  - 20,000 facilities surveyed
- Radiation Oncology
  - Started in 1986
  - As an extension of Patterns of Care study
  - ~ 240 facilities surveyed (of about 2000)

# JOINT ACR/ASTRO ACCREDITATION IN 2008



### MIPPA / CMS MANDATE

■ Effective Jan. 1<sup>st</sup> 2012, all providers that bill for MRI, CT, PET and nuclear medicine under part B of the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule must be accredited in order to receive technical component reimbursement from Medicare.

### RAD ONC ACCREDITATION

- ACR recommended to Legislators MANDATORY accreditation of all facilities
- ASTRO STRONGLY RECOMMENDED Accreditation for all facilities

### **MANDATORY**

- New York
- New Jersey

Pennsylvania, considering

#### **GOALS**

- To provide impartial, third-party peer review
- To recognize quality radiation oncology practices through accreditation
- To make recommendations for improvement in practice and patient outcomes according to the recognized standards of the scientific community
- To provide a referral list for patients.

#### BENEFITS

- An objective peer review assessment of practice
- Evidence through an external audit that demonstrates to referring MDs, Patients, peers, Regulatory agencies & payers, the facilities commitment to quality care
- Specific recommendations for improvement from experienced practicing RO & MP
- Staffing & Equipment improvement/replacements

#### COST

- \$ 9,500 for a single site
- **■** \$ 3,000 for each additional site

#### ACCREDIATION

- Web based
  - Application by the facility
  - Staff review
  - Data accessible to reviewers
  - Data collection by reviewers during the survey
  - Review by the committee
  - Report to the facility
- **■** To go live in Late 2010



#### RADIATION ONCOLOGY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM



Home Profile	Logout Password Change Role: Facility User / fuser@mwebware.com							
Application ÷	Part II - Page 1							
🌼 Part I 🗼	Each physical location requires a separate part I Please answer all questions. Type or print clearly and keep a copy of this appli-							
Part II •	cation. Your application submission must include:							
Survey Agreement ✓	* Part I and II of the application * a signed survey agreement							
Release Form	* release forms for each physician in the practice							
<ul> <li>Business Association</li> <li>Agreement (HIPPA)</li> </ul>	* the accreditation fee							
	Site Information—							
Available Schedule Dates	Facility Name							
Inbox -	Street Address							
Self Assesment								
Payment	City State Zip							
🚜 Submit								
	─Mailing·Address if different from above							
	Facility Name							
	Street Address							
	Guer Audreo							
	City State Zip							
	Telephone Fax							
	Contact Person							
	Contact Telephone							
	Contact Email							
	Chief of Radiation Oncology							
	How did you hear about Radiation Oncology Accreditation?							
	Renewal Web site							
	Brochure/Postcard Mailing Conference							
	Other							
	Suici Suici							
	Next							

#### DAY OF SURVEY

- Surveyors
  - Radiation Oncologist
  - Medical Physicist
- Spend the day at facility
  - ~ 8 AM 5 PM

#### **PROCESS**

- Meet with "Chart rounds crowd" and interview re their practice
- Tour the facility to check the physical facility and interview staff
- Data collection of pre selected 10 charts
- Review Policies and Procedures
- Review records of QA, Chart rounds, M&M, Physician peer review etc.
- Exit interview reviewing findings only!!

#### **PROCESS**

- Committee makes the final decision
- Grant or Deny 3 yr accreditation
- Correction plan may be requested
  - Submit plan of action
  - Once approved by the committee
  - Facility to gather data for 3-6 months and submit the data
  - If satisfactory, then Accreditation granted

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- The surveyors act as data collectors only
- All data from the application and the survey are compiled and submitted to the committee who makes the final decision & recommendations regarding accreditation.
- This committee is composed of board certified RO & MP that are experienced surveyors

#### REPORT

- The medical director of the facility receives a detailed narrative report that includes
- Tables comparing the facility's staffing and equipment ratios to similar size and type ACR accredited facilities
- Comments and Recommendations regarding the reviewed patient cases
- Recommendations for improvement.

#### **STRATA**

- Academic/CCC: Comprehensive Cancer Center or main teaching hospital of a medical school
- H1 Hospital based; >600 patients
- H2 Hospital based; 201-599 patients
- H3 Hospital based; <200 patients</p>
- **■** F1 Freestanding; >600 patients
- **F2 Freestanding; 201-599 patients**
- **■** F3 Freestanding; <200 patients

	ALL	Acade mic/ CCC	H1	H2	H3	F1	F2	F3
New pts/ RO	208	213	253	221	151	248	221	141
New pts/ MP	278	196	220	292	153	378	340	226
New pts/ Dosi	262	296	348	279	192	287	257	198
New pts/ Therapist	71	72	67	75	51	81	73	58
Therapist/ Machine	3.3	4.1	2	3.4	3	3.9	3.3	2.5
New pts/ Rx machine	187	287	206	241	146	321	258	134

## TOP TEN REASONS FOR DEFERRAL

- Incomplete / Lack of
  - Comprehensive history & physical exam
  - Complete treatment prescription
  - Weekly evaluation while on treatment by RO
  - Adequate Portal films
  - Affirming the fulfillment of Prescribed dose by Mp at the completion of treatment
  - Treatment summary and follow up plan

# TOP TEN REASONS FOR DEFERRAL

- Incomplete / Lack of
  - Formal QA & I program
  - Treatment planning system Quality Assurance program
  - Physician peer review program
  - Brachytherapy
    - BEFORE: Written Directive
    - AFTER: Written Summary

# CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

- Accreditation Comm. meets annually and re evaluates the program
  - Additional questions
  - Incorporation of new standards
  - Continuous Improvement

#### **HOW TO PREPARE**

- Become a surveyor and learn the process and details
- Review ACR Radiation Oncology Practice Guidelines & Technical Standards
- Review ASTRO white papers
- Review AAPM TG reports (TG-21, TG-51, TG-40 & TG-53)
- Incorporate into your facility's P & P

We must give something for our fellow men, without expecting anything in return; Just for the privilege of doing it.

-- Albert Schweitzer

#### **SURVEYOR CRITERIA**

- ABR certification in radiation oncology or therapeutic radiological physics
- Member in good standing of the ACR or ASTRO
- Minimum five years in practice
- Actively practicing in an academic or private practice radiation oncology

#### SURVEYOR BENEFITS

- **■ CME 6 12 credits**
- CME transitioning to SAM/MOC in late 2010
- Online surveyor tutorial
- Paid travel expenses
- Honorarium \$ 500
- Contributing to "best practices" for radiation therapy
- Opportunity to use and improve interpersonal skills
- Gaining a better understanding of the entire radiation oncology community

#### SUMMARY

Culture of Quality and Safety

Become a surveyor

Have your practice surveyed

#### **ACCREDITATION**

- Surveyors?
- **■** Practice Accreditation?