In-room CT Imaging: Conventional CT
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• Applications
Why CT?

- 3D definition of anatomy (volumetric imaging) in treatment room
- CT for dose calculation (planning or treatment evaluation)
- Mature technology (with minor modifications for radiation therapy applications)
- CT images are widely accepted and familiar by radiation oncologists to determine target volumes and critical organs
- No direct contact with patient
- Single modality when compared with the planning CT.
In-room CT imaging for treatment guidance

- Uematsu et al. (1996), National Defense Medical College, Saitama, Japan.
  - Toshiba CT scanner, motorized couch.
  - Frameless, fractionated SRS/SRT

A DUAL COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY LINEAR ACCELERATOR UNIT FOR STEREOTACTIC RADIATION THERAPY: A NEW APPROACH WITHOUT CRANially FIXATED STEREOTACTIC FRAMES
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A diagram of a CT-LINAC combination system inside a radiotherapy treatment room. The table has two rotation axes; C1 is for isocentric couch rotation and C2 is for rotation between the CT and the LINAC. The gantry is coaxial to both the CT and the LINAC. Figure from Uematsu et al. 1996.
Isocenter Verification
Fig. 1. The Z-marker (Mark-It) is attached to the patient. The distance $Z'$, which can be measured with CT images, is the same as $Z$ in the cranio-caudal axis.

Intrafractional tumor position stability during computed tomography (CT)-guided frameless stereotactic radiation therapy for lung or liver cancers with a fusion of CT and linear accelerator (FOCAL) unit.


INTRA FRACTIONAL TUMOR POSITION STABILITY DURING COMPUTED 
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THERAPY FOR LUNG OR LIVER CANCERS WITH A FUSION OF CT AND 
LINEAR ACCELERATOR (FOCAL) UNIT
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Fig. 4. (upper) The serial CT images are obtained with reduced respiration. (lower) The center position is visually confirmed, and then marked with tiny metallic markers. The circle in the lower center image is planned 80% isodose line.

Fig. 2. T2N0M0 squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. (A) A 4.5-cm tumor with the cavity seen on the positioning CT. To reduce the risk of marginal recurrence, conventional RT of 50 Gy in 25 fractions during 5 weeks was initially given. (B) After RT, shrunken tumor (<3 cm) and radiation-induced interstitial changes were seen. SRT of 40 Gy in 8 fractions during 2 weeks was given at the 80% isodose line of 3.5 cm in diameter. (C) Three months after SRT, the tumor was not clear but localized radiation-induced fibrotic changes were apparent. (D) Six months after SRT, the volume of the radiation fibroses was reduced. (E) Nine months after SRT, the volume of the radiation fibroses had reduced further but localized atelectasis was seen. (F) Twenty-five months after SRT, the atelectasis was localized. (G) Fifty-five months after SRT, the volume of the atelectasis was somewhat smaller.
Figure 5. A conventional AcQSim CT scanner (Philips Medical Systems) was installed in a treatment room at the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York. A sliding couch top was used to transport the patient from the CT scanner table to the therapy treatment table. The therapy table was rotated to connect with the CT table. Picture is from Hua et al. 2003.
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Kengo Kuriyama, M.D.,* Hiroshi Onishi, M.D.,* Naoki Sano, R.T.,* Takafumi Komiyama, M.D.,* Yoshihito Aikawa, R.T.,* Yoshihito Tateda, R.T.,* Tsutomu Araki, M.D.,* and Minoru Uematsu, M.D.†

*Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Yamanashi, School of Medicine, Yamanashi, Japan; †Department of Radiology, Division of Radiation Oncology, National Defense Medical College, Tokorozawa, Japan

Figure 2. The Siemens Primatom™ CT-on-rails system, which contains a Primus™ linear accelerator and a Somatom™ sliding-gantry CT scanner (CT-on-Rails). The left picture was the first Primatom unit installed at the Morristown Memorial Hospital, New Jersey. Picture curtsey of Lisa Grimm, Ph.D. The right picture shows a recent model of the unit installed at the Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA. Picture curtsey of Charlie Ma, Ph.D.
Figure 4. A CT-on-Rails system combining a GE Smart Gantry CT scanner and a Varian 2100EX linear accelerator was installed at the M.D. Anderson Cancer Center. After rotating the couch 180 degrees, a patient can receive a CT scan while in the immobilized treatment position just prior to the start of radiation treatment.
Figure 3. In the GE Smart Gantry™ CT-on-Rails system, two side rails keep the gantry horizontal when scanning. One middle rail keeps the gantry moving straight along the axis of the scanning. Picture is from Kuriyama et al. 2003.
A horizontal CT system dedicated to heavy-ion beam treatment
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Fig. 1. Layout of the horizontal CT system.
A NOVEL SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR PATIENT IMMOBILIZATION AND TRANSPORTATION FOR DAILY COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHIC LOCALIZATION OF TARGET PRIOR TO RADIATION THERAPY

KENJI NEMOTO, M.D., Ph.D.,* KAZUMASA SEJII, M.D., Ph.D.,† KAZUYA SASAKI, R.T.T.,† NOBUTAKA KASAMATSU, R.T.T.,† TOSHI FUKISHIMA, R.T.T.,† YOSHIHIRO OGAWA, M.D., Ph.D.,* HISANORI ARIGA, M.D., Ph.D.,* KEN TAKEDA, M.D., Ph.D.,* TOKIHISA KIMURA, M.D., Ph.D.,† AND SHOGO YAMADA, M.D., Ph.D.*

*Department of Radiation Oncology, Tohoku University School of Medicine, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan; Departments of *Radiology and †Surgery, Sendai City Hospital, Furukawa, Miyagi, Japan
Patient Transportation System
Workflow
A diagram of a CT-LINAC combination system inside a radiotherapy treatment room. The table has two rotation axes; C1 is for isocentric couch rotation and C2 is for rotation between the CT and the LINAC. The gantry is coaxial to both the CT and the LINAC. Figure from Uematsu et al. 1996.
Example of workflow

1. CT scanning of the target with 2 mm slice spacing
2. Selecting the center of the target,
3. Placing two tiny radio-opaque markers on the surface of the patient or the immobilization device to mark the isocenter,
4. Re-CT scanning to verify marker positions relative to the target
5. Aligning markers with linac’s treatment beams.
CT-on-Rails at MDACC

Common Iso Method

- Start Alignment
- Setup
- Image patient
- Align to plan
- Move couch
- Proceed with treatment

Daily Iso Method

- Start Alignment
- Setup
- Attach fiducials
- Image patient
- Find fiducials
- Align to plan
- Move couch
- Proceed with treatment
Common isocenter method

- Relies heavily on mechanical integrity of the system
- Assume isocenter is fixed
- Shift using couch digital readout
Daily isocenter method

• Reduced reliance on system’s mechanical integrity
• Assume isocenter varies daily
• Removes uncertainties in couch position
• Fiducials to define isocenter
• Shift patient using lasers
Mechanical Precision
A NEW IRRADIATION UNIT CONSTRUCTED OF SELF-MOVING GANTRY-CT AND LINAC

KENGO KURIYAMA, M.D.,* HIROSHI ONISHI, M.D.,* NAOKI SANO, R.T.,* TAKAFUMI KOMIYAMA, M.D.,* YOSHIITO AIKAWA, R.T.,* YOSHIITO TATEDA, R.T.,* TSUTOMU ARAKI, M.D.,* AND MINORU UEMATSU, M.D.†

*Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Yamanashi, School of Medicine, Yamanashi, Japan; †Department of Radiology, Division of Radiation Oncology, National Defense Medical College, Tokorozawa, Japan

Table 1. Rotational accuracy of the common treatment couch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Difference from center of the ball (mm)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral (right-left)</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal (cranial-caudal)</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical (antero-posterior)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Difference is shown in mean ± SD.
Uncertainties in Various Steps

- the patient couch position on the linac side after a rotation
- the patient couch position on the CT side after a rotation
- the precision of the couch position as indicated by its digital readout
- the difference in couch sag between the CT and linac positions
- the geometric accuracy of the reconstructed CT images
- the identification of fiducial markers from CT images (if necessary)
- the alignment with setup lasers
- the alignment of the planning contours with the anatomy in the CT image (under ideal conditions without organ deformation).
## Individual uncertainties (in 1-SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of uncertainty</th>
<th>Uncertainty, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Align scale with laser</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couch position at LINAC side</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couch position at CT side</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couch digital readout</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT coordinates</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of fiducial coordinates</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment of contours with structures</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation in couch sag differences</td>
<td>negligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Only two uncertainties larger than 0.3mm

Figure 6. Measurements of couch sag for various weight loads after an 180-degree couch rotation in a CT-linac system. Ideally, the amount of sag should be equal after a couch rotation to maintain spatial symmetry between the linac and CT coordinates.
Uncertainty in Couch Rotation

CT

Linac

Uncertainty: 0.5mm
Precision for the two methods

\[
\sigma_{\text{total}}^2 = \sigma_{I_{\text{CT}}}^2 + \sigma_{I_{\text{plan}}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{shift}}^2
\]

Common isocenter method

\[
\sigma_{\text{total}}^2 = \left( \sigma_{\text{couch}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{CT}}^2 \right) + \sigma_{\text{contour}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{coordinates}}^2
\]

Daily isocenter method

\[
\sigma_{\text{total}}^2 = \sigma_{bb}^2 + \sigma_{\text{contour}}^2 + \sigma_L^2
\]

\[
\left( \sigma_{\text{couch}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{coordinates}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{couch,CT}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{couch,LINAC}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{sag}}^2 \right)
\]
Predicted uncertainty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setup protocol</th>
<th>Uncertainty (mm)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily isocenter</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common isocenter</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.5 without sag)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Radiation Dose from CT Imaging
Where is the concern?

- CT-guided treatments
  - Multiple, repeated imaging
    - 42 fractions for prostate treatments
  - Low CT dose becomes a concern
Objectives

• Measure the typical daily CT dose from in-room CT-guided radiotherapy
  – abdomen/pelvis
  – head & neck
  – thoracic
• Compare with current practice using weekly portal films or EPIDs
TLD in Pelvis Phantom

Distance = 0.613 cm +/- 0.064 cm
Distance = 8.8 cm +/- 0.064 cm
Distance = 10.7 cm +/- 4.0 cm
Distance = 4.0 cm +/- 0.6 cm
Distance = 1.5 cm +/- 8.8 cm
Distance = 0.6 cm +/- 10.7 cm
TLD in Head & Neck Phantom

0.9 cm
1.8 cm
3.8 cm
7.1 cm
TLD in Head & Neck Phantom

Distance = 2.607 cm +/- 0.047 cm
Distance = 1.025 cm +/- 0.047 cm

Distance = 4.571 cm +/- 0.047 cm

1.6cm
2.6cm

4.7cm
### Scanning Protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Head &amp; Neck</th>
<th>Prostate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scout:</strong> 120kV, 20mA</td>
<td><strong>Scout:</strong> 120kV, 80mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helical Scan</strong></td>
<td><strong>Helical Scan</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 3mm thickness</td>
<td>– 3mm thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 1.0 pitch</td>
<td>– 1.5 pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– 120kV, 110mA</td>
<td>– 120kV, 200mA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TLD Calibration

- TLD Calibration Water Tank
  - tube holds TLD at isocenter
  - fill with water to place TLD at desired depth
- 6MV photons
- depth = $d_{\text{max}}$ (1.5cm)

$\text{Dose (cGy)} = 0.8259 \times \text{TLD (uC)}$
Energy-Dependence of LiF TLD

- Budd et al showed that the over-response of LiF TLD at low energies below 150 keV.
- We estimated conservatively that the mean energy of our CT-on-rails scanner was 50 keV.
- Therefore, all dose measurements were divided by a correction factor of 1.25.*

Fig. 2. Response of LiF measured at 240 °C. Sensitivity is expressed as counts per rad in water at a given energy divided by counts per rad in water for 57Co.

Figure 7. The depth- and site-dependence of CT imaging dose for typical exposures. The measurements were performed in phantom using TLDs. The error bars represent one standard deviation of multiple TLD measurements.
Dose from Portal Films

- One film = 6 - 8 MU
- Two orthogonal films each week, 8 weeks of treatment, assuming no repeat films.
  - 96 - 128 MU ~ 100 cGy
- Typical prescription for prostate = 7560 cGy
- Typical prescription for head&neck = 7000 cGy
  - ~ 1.3% of prescription dose for prostate
  - ~ 1.4% for prescription dose for head&neck

CT dose
~2 cGy x 42 = ~84 cGy
Quality Assurance

For in-room CT imaging
QA for CT Scanner

• AAPM Task Group #2 “Specification and acceptance testing of computed tomography scanners” (Lin et al. 1993)
• Task Group #66 “Quality assurance for computed-tomography simulators and the computed-tomography-simulation process”. (Mutic et al. 2003)
  – In-room CT is very similar to the function of a CT simulator.
Spatial integrity

- The mechanical precision of the CT scanner should be able to determine a patient’s position on a shared treatment couch to within 1 mm relative to the treatment beam.
- Any vibration or miscalibration of the CT gantry moving on rails could result in either poor image quality or spatially displaced objects. It is recommended that a long, straight, and leveled object should be scanned along the gantry movement direction to determine any jittering or spatial non-linearity issues.
Low Contrast resolution

- The contrast resolution is defined as the CT scanner’s ability to distinguish relatively large objects which differ only slightly in density from background.

- For the moving-gantry CT scanner, the low contrast resolution is worse than conventional couch-moving CT scanners.
  - 1.5% contrast level at 3 mm object
  - 0.3% for conventional (couch-moving) CT
Positioning laser systems

- Two laser positioning systems are usually installed:
  - Linac laser system for patient setup
  - CT laser for position verifications
- Lasers are temporary in-room reference
Safety Interlocks

- X-ray On interlock
- Interlock for couch center position
- Interlock for couch height
- Collision interlocks
- Park position
Comparison with weekly portal filming

- Portal Films (2 orthogonal films, once/week)
  ~100 cGy (1.3 – 1.4 % of prescription dose)
  * This is conservative because the portal dose from repeat films were not included.
- Daily CT, assuming ~2 cGy per scan, 42 fractions
  ~84 cGy (1.1 – 1.2 % of prescription dose)

Therefore, if daily CT replaces portal films, the imaging dose for patient alignment is comparable.
CT scanning protocols

- Site-dependent and application dependent
  - mA
  - Slice spacing
  - Slice thickness
  - Pitch
  - Scan field-of-view
  - Scan length
General Recommendations

- **Daily tests**
  - CT number reproducibility and uniformity test
  - High contrast resolution (visual inspection)

- **Monthly tests**
  - Repeat daily tests
  - Alignment test using a phantom

- **Annual tests**
  - CT scanner annual calibration/tests
    - CT number accuracy
    - Spatial integrity
    - Image quality
    - Imaging dose
    - Interlock systems
    - Application specific tests
In-room CT-guided Applications
CT-guided RT

• Reposition patient based on pre-treatment CT images
  – Rigid-body movement (translation)
  – Rotation: rare

• Replan
  – Mid-course correction
  – Image-guided adaptive radiotherapy

• Single treatment
  – SRS/SRT
  – Palliative treatments
Prostate

Example of setup error and organ variation during the course of prostate radiotherapy

- Contours from treatment planning CT are overlaid as reference
- Patient aligned with BBs
Comparison of Bony and Direct Target Localization

Bony Registration

Direct Target Localization

“freezing” the prostate!
Para-spinal Lesion


Lung Cancers


CT-Assisted Targeting (CAT)

- Using daily CT to align to the target of the day
- Planning CT used as a reference
- Auto-registration (with manual adjustment if necessary)
- Overlay of target structure for alignment confirmation
Dose Overlay (animation)

Prescription:
10 Gy x 3 fx with IMRT
10 Gy x 1 boost AP/PA

- 40 Gy
- 30 Gy
- 20 Gy
- 10 Gy
CT-guided Adaptive Radiotherapy
A workflow diagram for in-room CT-guided adaptive radiotherapy
HN Case (three weeks later)

CT on 3/8/02
1st Tx on 3/19/02

CT on 4/3/02

Dong / MD Anderson
Planning CT with manually-drawn contours

Daily CT with manually-drawn contours overlaid
Planning CT with manually-drawn contours

Daily CT with auto-delineated original contours
Planning CT with manually-drawn contours

Daily CT with auto-delineated original contours
Setup Uncertainties In Head & Neck Treatment

19 Treatment CT scans acquired during the course of head & neck radiotherapy
Planning CT

Repeat CT
After bony registration
Dosimetric Impact of Anatomy Variation
Dosimetric Impact of Anatomy Variation
Dosimetric Impact of Anatomy Variation
Comparison of DVHs

Dose (cGy)

Fraction of Volume

CTV1-2ndCT
CTV1-plan
CTV2-2ndCT
CTV2-plan
CTV3-2ndCT
CTV3-plan
L Parotid-2ndCT
L Parotid-plan
R Parotid-2ndCT
R Parotid-plan
cord-2ndCT
cord-plan

Dong / MD Anderson
Summary and Discussion

• In-room CT imaging extends “CT simulation” just prior to each treatment
• Mature technology for in-room IGRT
• 3D definition of anatomy
  – Familiar by physicians
• Dose calculation
  – Replanning
  – Online “Plan & Treat”
• Image Guidance
  – Setup correction
  – Treatment monitoring
• Learning