

**CRITERIA FOR ACCREDITATION OF  
DOSIMETRY CALIBRATION LABORATORIES  
BY THE  
AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICISTS IN MEDICINE**



Revision 12.4.1

October 30, 2024

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

15	1	HISTORICAL BACKGROUND.....	5
16	2	SCOPE.....	7
17	3	AAPM ACCREDITATION.....	8
18	3.1	Functions of an Accredited Dosimetry Calibration Laboratory.....	8
19	3.2	Accreditation Body Organization.....	8
20	3.3	Accreditation Components.....	8
21	4	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCREDITATION.....	10
22	4.1	Purpose.....	10
23	4.2	Conflict of Interest.....	10
24	4.3	Ability to Serve.....	11
25	4.4	Application for Accreditation.....	11
26	4.4.1	Application Process.....	11
27	4.4.2	Accreditation Application Requirements.....	11
28	4.5	Surveillance assessment requirements.....	12
29	4.6	Accreditation Requirements.....	13
30	4.6.1	Requirements from ISO 17011.....	13
31	4.6.2	Requirements for maintenance of accreditation:.....	14
32	4.7	Suspension or Revocation of Accreditation.....	15
33	4.8	Discontinuance of Accreditation.....	15
34	5	General Requirements.....	16
35	5.1	Designation of International Standard as AAPM Standard.....	16
36	5.2	AAPM Supplemental Requirements.....	16
37	5.3	AAPM Excluded Requirement.....	17

38	6	REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCREDITED CALIBRATIONS.....	18
39	6.1	General Technical Requirements .....	18
40	6.1.1	Equipment and Facilities .....	18
41	6.1.2	Calibration service protocols .....	19
42	6.1.3	Calibration service quality requirements: .....	21
43	6.1.4	Reporting of Calibration Results.....	23
44	6.2	Criteria for Calibration of Electrometers.....	25
45	6.2.1	Equipment and Facilities .....	25
46	6.2.2	Calibration service protocol .....	25
47	6.2.3	Calibration service quality requirements: .....	26
48	6.2.4	Reporting of Calibration results: .....	26
49	6.3	Criteria for Calibration of Diagnostic X-ray Chambers .....	27
50	6.3.1	Equipment and Facilities .....	28
51	6.3.2	Calibration service protocol .....	30
52	6.3.3	Calibration service quality requirements: .....	30
53	6.3.4	Reporting of Calibration Results.....	31
54	6.4	Criteria for Calibration of Therapy Ionization Chambers.....	33
55	6.4.1	Equipment and Facilities .....	33
56	6.4.2	Calibration Service Protocol .....	35
57	6.4.3	Calibration Service Quality Requirements:.....	36
58	6.4.4	Reporting of Calibration Results.....	37
59	6.5	Criteria for Calibration of Brachytherapy Sources and Well Chambers .....	38

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

60	6.5.1	Equipment and Facilities .....	38
61	6.5.2	Calibration Service Protocol .....	39
62	6.5.3	Calibration Service Quality Requirements:.....	41
63	6.5.4	Reporting of Calibration Results.....	42
64	Appendix A	Guidelines for Uncertainty Assessment .....	43
65	Appendix B	Calibration Report Handling Requirements.....	44
66		Purpose:.....	44
67		Report Storage and Archive:.....	44
68	Appendix C	AAPM Recommendations for Components of Non-Standard Methods .....	45
69	Appendix D	AAPM Accreditation Certificate .....	46
70	Appendix E	AAPM ADCL Logo .....	47
71	Appendix F	GENERAL TERMS AND DEFINITIONS .....	48
72	Appendix G	UNCERTAINTY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS.....	55
73			

## 74 I. INTRODUCTION

### 75 1 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

76 In 1971 the American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) formed a task group to  
77 develop guidelines for the establishment of a system of secondary standard calibration  
78 laboratories for the benefit of the AAPM membership and their institutions. The laboratories, later  
79 known as accredited dosimetry calibration laboratories (ADCLs), would be accredited by the  
80 AAPM to provide high precision dosimetry calibrations traceable to the National Bureau of  
81 Standards (now the National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST). Pursuant to Article  
82 Three of AAPM Charter, "To promote the application of physics to medicine and biology," the  
83 secondary laboratory accreditation system was created with the following purposes:

- 84 a. To reduce the time required for precision calibrations. The growth of radiation therapy  
85 facilities in the United States had created a demand for precision calibrations of dosimetry  
86 instrumentation, which NIST was not able to satisfy in a reasonable period of time, resulting  
87 in backlogs of nearly a year in obtaining these calibrations.
- 88 b. To create a system of secondary standard laboratories (then referred to as Regional  
89 Calibration Laboratories). The high degree of accuracy and precision required for  
90 calibrations of radiation therapy instruments identified the need for the creation of not only  
91 a secondary standard laboratory system, but also the need to maintain close traceability to  
92 NIST on an ongoing basis. With the cooperation of NIST, the AAPM established its first  
93 measurement assurance program (MAP) for dosimetry instrumentation in the US, which  
94 required regular ADCL comparisons with NIST and other laboratories in the secondary  
95 system.
- 96 c. To establish a technical resource for the membership of the AAPM. The laboratory system  
97 was established to serve the AAPM membership as a technical resource by providing  
98 advice and assistance in the use of dosimetry instrumentation, the use of the calibration  
99 results and the evaluation and resolution of problems encountered by the membership.

100 This document was prepared, edited and refined over the years since 1971 by the efforts of  
101 members of Task Group 3, the Subcommittee on Laboratory Accreditation of the Radiation  
102 Therapy Committee of the AAPM, and its task groups. This is now known as the Calibration  
103 Laboratory Accreditation (CLA) Subcommittee, hereafter referred to as the CLA, the  
104 Subcommittee, or the CLA Subcommittee.

105 In 1995, the Subcommittee initiated a major revision of the accreditation protocol to bring their  
106 existing Guideline document into agreement with ISO/IEC Guide 25 which was entitled "The  
107 Criteria for Accreditation of Dosimetry Calibration Laboratories by the American Association of  
108 Physicist in Medicine." Three Task Groups were identified for the purpose of developing a  
109 protocol for dose to water (TG-1), developing a protocol for the calibration of instruments used  
110 to measure diagnostic x-ray beams (TG-2) and developing a guidance document for the rejection  
111 of instruments (TG-3). Hereafter, it may be referred to as the "Criteria," or "this document."

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

112 In 2022, the Subcommittee made major revisions to the Criteria. The AAPM fully adopted the  
113 ISO/IEC 17025:2017(ISO/IEC 17025, 2017) standard as a means to determine laboratory  
114 competency and it is referenced in its entirety in this document. This adoption serves the purpose  
115 of streamlining these AAPM Criteria and removing competing requirements that have previously  
116 existed between the AAPM and the ISO standards. It also allows the Subcommittee to focus its  
117 efforts on determining and maintaining the specific technical criteria necessary for ADCLs to  
118 competently perform dosimetry calibrations. Adherence to the ISO/IEC 17025:2017 standard is  
119 now an AAPM requirement for accreditation, in addition to the AAPM technical requirements  
120 detailed in Section 6 of this document.

121

122 **2 SCOPE**

123 This document describes requirements and procedures for laboratories to be accredited as  
124 ADCLs by the AAPM. It is intended for use by the AAPM and the laboratories in its purview to  
125 assess the competency of a laboratory to perform dosimetry calibrations and compliance with  
126 the standard established by the AAPM described in this document. This document is to be used  
127 in conjunction with ISO/IEC 17025 General requirements for the competence of testing and  
128 calibration laboratories, Third edition 2017-11; Reference number ISO/IEC 17025:2017 (E) for  
129 this purpose.

130 The following sections discuss the purpose of the ADCLs and the Subcommittee that oversees  
131 these secondary standards laboratories as well as the requirements for obtaining and  
132 maintaining AAPM accreditation of calibrations under its scope. Finally, the specific criteria used  
133 to determine competency in technical work and quality management are detailed.

134 Laboratories may seek accreditation by the AAPM to perform calibrations of:

- 135 a. Ionization chambers and *dosimetry systems* for measurements of exposure or air kerma  
136 for radiation therapy;
- 137 b. Ionization chambers and *dosimetry systems* for absorbed dose to water for radiation  
138 therapy;
- 139 c. Ionization chambers, electrometers, *dosimetry systems* and survey meters for  
140 measurements in diagnostic radiology;
- 141 d. Well-type ionization chambers for LDR and low-dose-rate (LDR) brachytherapy sources .
- 142 e. Well-type ionization chambers for high-dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy source calibration;
- 143 f. Well-type ionization chambers for intravascular brachytherapy (IVB) applications.

144 Specific requirements for a-f are discussed in Section 6 of this document. Other radiation  
145 sources and dosimetry instruments used in diagnostic radiology, radiation oncology, and nuclear  
146 medicine that require NIST-traceable calibrations require development of amendments to these  
147 criteria.

148

149 **3 AAPM ACCREDITATION**

150 The AAPM calibration laboratory accreditation process is described in the Laboratory  
151 Accreditation Program Quality Manual, Revision 9 (2022), which contains the organization,  
152 functions, and responsibilities of the accreditation body. This document describes the  
153 requirements placed on a laboratory to be AAPM accredited.

154 **3.1 Functions of an Accredited Dosimetry Calibration Laboratory**

155 An ADCL is expected to perform, at a minimum, the following functions:

- 156 a. Being a secondary standard calibration laboratory for medical dosimetry applications.  
157 b. Providing NIST *traceable* calibrations of sources and/or radiation measuring devices that  
158 meet or exceed the uncertainty goals established by the CLA for areas of accreditation listed  
159 in Section 2 above.  
160 c. Serving as a technical resource for AAPM members and the medical community at large by  
161 providing technical advice and assistance in matters relating to calibration and use of  
162 dosimetry instrumentation and/or brachytherapy sources.  
163 d. Participating in oversight activities of the CLA Subcommittee by having a representative at  
164 all meetings of the CLA Subcommittee and by providing annual reports of the activities of the  
165 ADCL. These reports shall include:  
166 1. a general statement of calibration activity;  
167 2. any changes in key personnel or facilities;  
168 3. any errors in the calibrations which exceed the stated laboratory uncertainty for the  
169 calibration in question;  
170 4. an analysis of any significant trends, including the number of instruments received that  
171 were unfit for calibration;  
172 5. any other information that the CLA Chair or ADCL director deems appropriate.

173 **3.2 Accreditation Body Organization**

174 The accreditation body organization is described in the Laboratory Accreditation Program  
175 Quality Manual, Revision 9 (2022).

176 **3.3 Accreditation Components**

177 AAPM accreditation consists of the following components:

- 178 a. Application: The application as described in Section 4.4.  
179 b. Site Assessment Team: The CLA Chair appoints an assessment team leader. The team  
180 leader and the CLA Chair will jointly choose other assessment team members.  
181 1. Curricula vitae of the proposed assessment team members will be sent to the laboratory  
182 for approval prior to confirmation of the team members to the assessment team.  
183 2. The team leader will prepare an agenda for the accreditation assessment and forward it



AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

- 184 to the laboratory for review.
- 185 c. External Validation: The laboratory must schedule and successfully complete *proficiency*  
186 *tests* with NIST and, where appropriate, *ADCL intercomparisons*. This may occur before or  
187 after the site visit. The candidate laboratory will bear the expense of the *proficiency tests*  
188 and *ADCL intercomparisons*.
- 189 d. Assessment: The approved assessment team will assess the laboratory to review the  
190 facilities, personnel, organization and required resources. The team will assess the  
191 competence of the laboratory's personnel and assess the adequacy of the procedures used  
192 for calibration of a suitable instrument(s) and/or source(s) to ensure compliance with these  
193 criteria. The assessment may be on-site, or remote.
- 194 e. Accreditation:
- 195 1. Provisional Accreditation: The AAPM Calibration Laboratory Accreditation Executive  
196 committee (CLAX) may grant laboratories provisional accreditation for a period of up  
197 to one year.
- 198 a. The initial accreditation for new laboratories will be a provisional accreditation.
- 199 b. Provisional accreditation of the candidate laboratory may be considered by the  
200 AAPM CLAX when the laboratory meets the following:
- 201 i. Successful completion of the NIST proficiency test(s);
- 202 ii. A positive recommendation by the site-visit team;
- 203 iii. All in-scope criteria contained in this document.
- 204 c. The accreditation status of an existing ADCL can be changed to provisional when  
205 significant changes occur at the ADCL, such as changes in personnel, ownership,  
206 equipment or protocol.
- 207 d. The performance of provisionally accredited laboratories will be evaluated at the  
208 biannual CLA and CLAX meetings. The evaluation will consider such factors as  
209 comments or complaints, turnaround time, staffing changes, any problems or  
210 calibration errors reported, and such other considerations as the CLA  
211 Subcommittee deems appropriate.
- 212 e. Transition from provisional accreditation to full accreditation, continued provisional  
213 accreditation, or revocation of accreditation is decided by CLAX, and may require  
214 further assessment, performed at the expense of the applicant laboratory.
- 215 f. A lab shall have no more than 3 consecutive provisional accreditations.
- 216 2. Full Accreditation: Full AAPM accreditation may be granted by the AAPM CLAX for a  
217 period of up to four years. The accreditation decision shall be based upon a review of  
218 the past performance of the ADCL, its performance in NIST *proficiency tests*, its  
219 performance on ADCL intercomparisons, upon due consideration of any client  
220 comments or complaints, and upon full compliance with these Criteria.
- 221 f. Appeal of Accreditation: An applicant laboratory can appeal an accreditation decision to the  
222 CLAX, then to the AAPM Board of Directors. The decision of the Board of Directors is final.
- 223 g. Accreditation Certificate: Upon accreditation, the AAPM shall provide a certificate and the  
224 approved scope of the accreditation and confer accreditation to the ADCL whose  
225 performance meets all the requirements of these Criteria.
- 226 h. Surveillance: Maintenance of accreditation is subject to an ADCLs participation in  
227 surveillance assessments. Surveillance assessments shall be conducted at the discretion of

- 228 the Chair of the CLA Subcommittee.
- 229 1. Generally, a surveillance assessment will be scheduled one year after an initial  
230 assessment for new ADCLs, or two years after a re-accreditation assessment.
- 231 2. The surveillance assessment team shall review:
- 232 a. The status of responses to findings and recommendations reported from the prior  
233 assessment;
- 234 b. Customer feedback since the prior assessment;
- 235 c. The ADCLs most recent management review;
- 236 d. Evidence of continuous improvement (combining all the actions, corrective and  
237 otherwise).

## 238 **4 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCREDITATION**

### 239 **4.1 Purpose**

240 The AAPM accreditation is a voluntary activity of the Association conducted for the benefit of the  
241 AAPM membership and to promote the application of physics to medicine and biology under  
242 ARTICLE 3 of its Charter. The primary goal of the AAPM accreditation is to assure the continued  
243 availability of high quality secondary standard calibrations used by the membership and their  
244 institutions in the diagnosis and treatment of patients. The use of ADCLs reduces the service  
245 time and cost in obtaining these calibrations, both of which would be significantly greater for the  
246 membership were they required to seek primary calibrations from NIST.

247 The CLA Subcommittee's task is to manage the AAPM ADCL accreditation program to maintain  
248 the highest level of confidence in the quality of the ADCL system, with sufficient capacity in the  
249 system to prevent undue delays in satisfying the membership's calibration needs while providing  
250 a choice of ADCLs.

251 The term applicant refers to a laboratory seeking initial accreditation or reaccreditation  
252 throughout this section.

### 253 **4.2 Conflict of Interest**

254 The applicant institution must be free of any conflict of interest with regard to its ownership and/or  
255 business and its responsibility to provide unbiased calibration results, technical advice, and  
256 assistance to the AAPM membership.

257 AAPM accreditation is not for the benefit of commercial organizations engaged in the  
258 manufacturing, marketing, distribution, or sale of dosimetry instrumentation since this would  
259 represent a conflict of interest under the ADCL's role as a technical advisor. There are other  
260 agencies, such as the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) and the  
261 American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA), which currently provide accreditation  
262 programs to serve commercial interests.

263 If the laboratory is part of a larger organization, the organizational arrangements should be such  
264 that administrative units having conflicting interests do not adversely influence the laboratory's  
265 compliance with the requirements of these Criteria.

#### 266 **4.3 Ability to Serve**

267 The applicant laboratory must have the financial and technical resources to provide sufficient  
268 staff, facilities, management and other requirements contained in these Criteria in order to  
269 provide adequate sustained service to the membership.

270 The laboratory shall be designed, operated, and maintained to meet applicable federal, state,  
271 and local safety codes and regulations.

#### 272 **4.4 Application for Accreditation**

273 The AAPM adopts the standards in ISO17011:2017(E) Section 7.2 for applications for  
274 accreditation.

##### 275 **4.4.1 Application Process**

- 276 a. An organization that desires to apply for new accreditation or renewal of accreditation should  
277 contact the AAPM Secretariat. The Secretariat shall provide the applicant organization with  
278 a copy of the Criteria and the Laboratory Accreditation Program Quality Manual.
- 279 b. A new applicant shall submit objective evidence to the Secretariat that:
- 280 1. its operation is free of conflicts of interest or financial or management influence of the  
281 other activities of its business or any other business of the owner that would adversely  
282 affect the impartiality of its ADCL activities;
  - 283 2. it can provide the proposed accredited services.
- 284 c. Applications for re-accreditation: For timely renewal, the AAPM recommends that the  
285 renewal application, along with required fees, be submitted at least 10 months prior to  
286 accreditation expiration, with an assessment completed at least 2 months prior to expiration.  
287 The AAPM makes no guarantee that applications received with less than 10 months' notice  
288 will be reviewed prior to accreditation expiration.

##### 289 **4.4.2 Accreditation Application Requirements**

290 Note: The term conformity assessment body from ISO 17011:2017(E) refers to the applicant  
291 laboratory or ADCL.

292 The applicant shall submit a formal application to the Secretariat that includes the following:

- 293 a. As specified in ISO 17011:2017(E) 7.2.1:
- 294 1. general features of the conformity assessment body, including legal entity, name,  
295 address(es), legal status and human and technical resources;
  - 296 2. general information concerning the conformity assessment body such as its relationship

- 297 in a larger entity if any, addresses of all its physical location(s) and, information on  
298 activities conducted at all locations including virtual site(s);  
299 3. a clearly defined scope of accreditation as defined in ISO 17011:2017(E) 7.8.3.c) for  
300 which the conformity assessment body seeks accreditation, including limits of capability  
301 where applicable;  
302 4. a commitment to continually fulfil the requirements for accreditation and the other  
303 obligations of the conformity assessment body;
- 304 b. Additional AAPM-specific information:
- 305 1. a description of its laboratory and support facilities related to ADCL activities;
- 306 2. the names and qualifications of the persons involved in activities related to in-scope ADCL  
307 calibrations including:
- 308 i. the individual(s) who perform the instrument calibrations and/or source calibrations  
309 and calculations;
- 310 ii. the laboratory quality manager;
- 311 iii. the individual(s) who review and sign formal calibration reports;
- 312 iv. the individual who has primary responsibility for laboratory operations;
- 313 3. The applicant shall provide to the AAPM Secretariat information demonstrating that the  
314 accreditation requirements are addressed prior to commencement of the assessment,  
315 including:
- 316 i. a copy of the laboratory's Quality Manual and associated documentation;
- 317 ii. demonstration of the NIST traceability for each candidate scope item;
- 318 iii. estimated uncertainties for each candidate scope item;
- 319 iv. an assessor checklist for the Criteria completed by the laboratory indicating the  
320 sections of the Quality Manual satisfying each Criteria requirement.
- 321 v. a crosswalk mapping that correlates the assessment criteria contained in this  
322 document with the laboratory's documentation for each element of the scope for  
323 which the laboratory desires accreditation;
- 324 vi. The AAPM may request additional information before agreeing to consider  
325 accreditation or re-accreditation.

#### 326 **4.5 Surveillance assessment requirements**

327 ADCLs shall provide the Secretariat the materials required for the surveillance assessment  
328 team to perform its assessment. The information required includes, but is not limited to:

- 329 a. Information regarding application materials which have changed since the  
330 (re-)accreditation assessment
- 331 b. Responses to findings and recommendations from the last (re-)accreditation  
332 assessment.
- 333 c. The most recent lab management and quality reviews.
- 334 d. Customer feedback received since the last (re-)accreditation assessment.
- 335 e. A summary of activities related to continuous improvement (combining all activities,  
336 corrective and otherwise).

337 **4.6 Accreditation Requirements**

338 **4.6.1 Requirements from ISO 17011**

339 By accepting accreditation, the ADCL agrees to the following requirements from Section 4.2,  
340 ISO 17011 (modifications from ISO 17011 marked with \*).

- 341 a. \*to continually fulfill the requirements for accreditation for the scope for which  
342 accreditation is sought or granted and to provide evidence of fulfilment. This includes  
343 agreement to adapt to changes in the requirements for accreditation;  
344 \*The requirements for accreditation are contained within these Criteria.
- 345 b. to cooperate as is necessary to enable the accreditation body to verify fulfilment of  
346 requirements for accreditation;
- 347 c. to provide access to conformity assessment body personnel, locations, equipment,  
348 information, documents and records as necessary to verify fulfilment of requirements for  
349 accreditation;
- 350 d. to arrange the witnessing of conformity assessment activities when requested by the  
351 accreditation body;  
352 \*The AAPM may schedule surveillance assessments at any time during the period of  
353 accreditation, though they are nominally done in the second year of an accreditation  
354 interval.
- 355 e. to have, where applicable, legally enforceable arrangements with their clients that commit  
356 the clients to provide, on request, access to accreditation body assessment teams to  
357 assess the conformity assessment body's performance when carrying out conformity  
358 assessment activities at the client's site;
- 359 f. to claim accreditation only with respect to the scope for which it has been granted;
- 360 g. to follow the accreditation body's policy for the use of the accreditation symbol;
- 361 1. \*The term "ADCL", "Accredited Dosimetry Calibration Laboratory", and the ADCL  
362 logo (Appendix E) are registered trademarks of the American Association of  
363 Physicists in Medicine and may be used only by the AAPM and organizations  
364 accredited by the AAPM.
- 365 2. \*An ADCL shall not use the term *Certified* or *Registered* when referencing its AAPM  
366 accreditation or its conformance to the Criteria. The correct term is *accredited*.
- 367 3. \*The AAPM reserves the right to control the use of the term "ADCL" and the quality  
368 of the logo itself.
- 369 4. \*Reproductions of the ADCL Logo shall be legible and not combined with other  
370 graphics.
- 371 5. \*The ADCL Logo shall not be used to imply product or service endorsement by the  
372 AAPM.
- 373 6. \*The term ADCL and the ADCL Logo shall be used in combination with the  
374 conformity assessment body's mark only with reference to in-scope accredited  
375 services.

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

- 376 7. \*If the laboratory performs calibrations not covered by the AAPM scope of  
377 accreditation, such calibration reports must not use the ADCL Logo or otherwise  
378 claim to be an AAPM accredited activity.  
379 8. (Templates of) client reports displaying the ADCL Logo shall be subject to CLA  
380 approval.

381 h. not to use its accreditation in such a manner as to bring the accreditation body into  
382 disrepute;

383 i. to inform the accreditation body without delay of significant changes relevant to its  
384 accreditation;

385 NOTE Such changes can concern:

- 386 ● its legal, commercial, ownership or organizational status;  
387 ● the organization, top management and key personnel;  
388 ● resources and location(s);  
389 ● scope of accreditation;  
390 ● other matters that can affect the ability of the conformity assessment body to fulfil  
391 requirements for accreditation.

392 \*Such changes are subject to review by the accreditation body and may require an  
393 assessment (at the expense of the laboratory) before deciding whether the changes are  
394 acceptable, and whether accreditation should be retained, retained provisionally, or  
395 withdrawn.

396 j. to pay fees as determined by the accreditation body;

397 \*Required fees are at available at [<https://www.aapm.org/links/adcl.asp>]

398 k. to assist in the investigation and resolution of any accreditation-related complaints about  
399 the conformity assessment body referred to it by the accreditation body.

400 **4.6.2 Requirements for maintenance of accreditation:**

401 a. to pay expenses of assessments as required by the Subcommittee, a proportionate share  
402 of the CLA Error and Omission insurance, and a proportionate share of the cost of  
403 periodic NIST proficiency tests and ADCL intercomparisons to maintain calibration  
404 traceability to the NIST for all accredited activities;

405 b. to operate the ADCL in accordance to the protocols, quality manual, and management  
406 system that have been approved by the ADCL and submitted to the AAPM;

407 c. to inform the AAPM of substantive changes in the ADCL protocols, quality manual,  
408 calibration reports, management system, key personnel, or calibration report signatories;

409 d. to ensure the AAPM Secretariat receives a copy of the current ADCL protocol, ADCL  
410 quality manual, and calibration report templates. These documents shall be maintained  
411 confidentially by the AAPM as proprietary property of the laboratory. Redactions are  
412 allowed where necessary to comply with state or federal regulations to permit the  
413 documents to be reviewed by assessors and confidentially kept by the AAPM.

414 e. to provide documentation of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 (E) compliance, in the form of audit

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

- 415 reports (or compliance accreditation) from organizations that perform ISO 17025 audits;  
416 f. to abide by the terms of accreditation relating to the tenure of accreditation, attendance at  
417 CLA meetings, participation in proficiency tests, submission of required reports, retention  
418 of records, surveillance visits and all other requirements contained in this Criteria  
419 document;  
420 g. to ensure that no certificate or report, nor any part thereof, is used in a misleading  
421 manner;  
422 h. to inform the CLA Subcommittee in writing of any intention to discontinue operation as an  
423 ADCL at a reasonable time prior to the date of discontinuance.

424 **4.7 Suspension or Revocation of Accreditation**

425 A calibration laboratory retains its accreditation at the discretion of the AAPM. If compliance  
426 with these Criteria for accreditation or the performance of a laboratory is found to be  
427 unacceptable, accreditation or accredited scope elements may be suspended or revoked. Upon  
428 notice of revocation of accreditation status,

- 429 a. The laboratory shall immediately suspend accredited operation for scope items that fail to  
430 meet the Criteria.  
431 b. The laboratory shall immediately suspend claims of accreditation for calibration services  
432 related to specific scope items that fail to meet the Criteria technical requirements.  
433 c. A laboratory will be given the opportunity to demonstrate performance that is in accordance  
434 with these Criteria.  
435 d. The Subcommittee may, at its discretion, reassess the laboratory and/or request that the  
436 laboratory perform special calibrations to demonstrate competence and compliance with  
437 the Criteria; the reassessment expenses are to be paid by the laboratory.

438 **4.8 Discontinuance of Accreditation**

439 In the event of a discontinuance of accreditation, all AAPM-accredited calibration records  
440 become the property of the AAPM. The laboratory shall

- 441 a. return its certificate of accreditation;  
442 b. cease all claims of accredited operations;  
443 c. forward all records regarding AAPM-accredited calibrations and operations to the AAPM  
444 unless otherwise directed by the AAPM.  
445

446 **II. CRITERIA FOR ACCREDITATION**

447 **5 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

448 **5.1 Designation of International Standard as AAPM Standard**

449 5.1.1 In conjunction with the requirements, technical and otherwise, presented in this  
450 document, for the purposes of determining the competency of a laboratory, the AAPM,  
451 hereby adopts as a supplementary standard the ISO/IEC 17025 General requirements  
452 for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories, Third edition 2017-11;  
453 Reference number ISO/IEC 17025:2017 (E) (hereafter, this document will be referred  
454 to as the ISO 17025 standard or ISO/IEC 17025:2017).

455 5.1.2 The AAPM requires compliance with all sections of the aforementioned ISO/IEC  
456 17025:2017 standard as outlined in 5.1.4, unless notable exceptions are clearly  
457 defined in 5.2 and 5.3 that supersede the expressed requirements of the published  
458 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 standard.

459 5.1.3 Exceptional requirements that contradict, amend or invalidate a clause of the ISO/IEC  
460 17025:2017 standard for the purpose of AAPM accreditation must be referenced in  
461 the related clauses of current AAPM Criteria 5.2 and 5.3.

462 5.1.4 Structure of the ISO/IEC 17025:2017 standard document:

463	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	Foreword
464	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	Introduction
465	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	1 Scope
466	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	2 Normative references
467	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	3 Terms and definitions
468	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	4 General requirements
469	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	5 Structural requirements
470	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	6 Resource requirements
471	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	7 Process requirements
472	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	8 Management system requirements
473	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	Annex A (informative) Metrological traceability
474	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	Annex B (informative) Management system options
475	ISO/IEC 17025:2017	Bibliography

476 **5.2 AAPM Supplemental Requirements**

477 5.2.1 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 clause 4.2.1 regarding confidentiality of client information does  
478 not apply to de-identified / masked data as this data does not identify a particular  
479 instrument, client, or institution.

480 5.2.2 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 clause 7.10 pertains to nonconforming work with respect to the  
481 laboratory procedures and client requirements. In addition to the ISO stipulations,  
482 nonconforming work shall include work that does not conform to the requirements of  
483 these Criteria and the laboratory *protocol*.



AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

- 484 5.2.3 The AAPM utilizes the NIST definition of nonconformance as that of nonconforming  
485 work (NIST RPD-G-07). (See glossary.)
- 486 5.2.4 In addition to ISO/IEC 17025:2017 section 7.10, if an ADCL discovers a situation that  
487 has led or might lead to a calibration error in any phase of its operation, it shall notify  
488 the Subcommittee chair and CLAX chair. The chairs shall report the situation to all  
489 other ADCLs when appropriate. This notification shall be styled to alert the other  
490 ADCLs to the possibility of such an error to include an explanation of how the error  
491 occurred and a description of the steps taken to prevent a repetition.
- 492 5.2.5 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 clause 7.10.1.e requires contacting a client regarding  
493 nonconforming work. If an ADCL discovers an error in a calibration report, the client  
494 and the AAPM (CLA Chair) shall be notified within 1 business day of the discovery  
495 and the ADCL shall document the notification as well as good-faith efforts to procure a  
496 client receipt of the notification.
- 497 **5.3 AAPM Excluded Requirement**
- 498 5.3.1 There are no observed exclusions to the ISO 17025: 2017 standard at this time.  
499

500 **6 REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCREDITED CALIBRATIONS**

501 This Section specifies the technical requirements for laboratories to be accredited by the  
502 AAPM for the calibration of instruments and sources for use in diagnostic and therapeutic  
503 radiation applications.

504 **6.1 General Technical Requirements**

505 **6.1.1 Equipment and Facilities**

506 In addition to meeting the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Section 6, an ADCL shall have  
507 at a minimum, in operable condition, the equipment and facilities designated in this section and  
508 in subsections below pertaining to specific calibration services.

- 509 a. Such equipment and facilities shall be dedicated to laboratory use (e.g., equipment under  
510 the direct control of the laboratory), with exceptions clearly identified and justified, as  
511 appropriate.
- 512 b. Wherever required in this Section, redundant equipment or facilities should be dissimilar,  
513 since dissimilar instruments are less likely to change or fail in the same way.
- 514 c. Equipment and facilities used for accredited calibrations shall have *direct traceability* to  
515 NIST where specifically required by this document, and by calibration with NIST-traceable  
516 equipment when not specified.

517 **6.1.1.1 Environmental monitoring equipment:**

- 518 a. Two barometers (resolution of 0.1 kPa or better), each with NIST-traceable calibrations.  
519 b. Two thermometers (resolution of 0.1 °C or better), each with NIST-traceable calibrations.  
520 c. A device to measure relative humidity (RH) having a NIST-traceable calibration with an  
521 uncertainty ( $k = 1$ ) of  $\pm 7\%$  RH or better.  
522 d. A device to measure background radiation wherever background radiation may influence  
523 the accuracy or reproducibility of the measurements.

524 **6.1.1.2 Charge and current measurement equipment:**

- 525 a. Two electrometers, both shall be capable of charge and current measurements.  
526 b. Each electrometer shall meet the requirements of IEC 60731, 2016.  
527 c. Analog electrometers of the feedback type shall have an open-loop gain of at least  $10^4$   
528 and an input offset current of less than  $10^{-13}$  A.  
529 d. The electrometer circuit shall be electrically guarded at the potential of the input contact  
530 point.  
531 e. Charge-leakage and input-offset current shall not exceed 0.1 % of any measured value.  
532 f. Electrometers may utilize analog or digital circuitry and readouts provided they meet or  
533 exceed minimum performance expectations.

534 **6.1.1.3 Voltage measurement equipment:**

- 535 a. Two 4½-digit (or more) voltmeters.  
536 b. One shall be capable of measuring at least 600 volts.

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

537 c. The accuracy and precision of both instruments shall be 0.1 % over the range of  
538 voltages required for calibrating the laboratory electrometers (6.1.1.2).

539 6.1.1.4 Sources of electrical potential:

540 a. Two sources of electric potential.

541 b. For chamber polarization: with accuracy of 5 % or better and stability sufficient to  
542 achieve measurement precision of 0.1 % or better.

543 c. For charge measurements: with sufficient accuracy to realize uncertainty required for  
544 electrometer calibrations (section 6.2).

545 6.1.1.5 Time measurement equipment:

546 a. A device for measurement of time, with traceability to NIST frequency or period time  
547 standards.

548 b. The timer shall be capable of measuring one to 100 second intervals with an accuracy  
549 exceeding 0.01 %.

550 c. Timer accuracy must be quantified.

551 **6.1.2 Calibration service protocols**

552 An ADCL shall specify its quality-control procedures and conduct its technical activities  
553 according to laboratory protocols specified in one or more documents (e.g. technical manual,  
554 quality manual, etc.), requirements for which are given in this section and in the calibration-  
555 service specific sections below.

556 Laboratory protocols for all calibration services shall include the following:

557 a. The procedure for acquiring and recording calibration data, which, in addition to  
558 requirements stated in ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Section 7.5 Technical Records, shall  
559 include:

560 1. The date and time of calibration;

561 2. The manufacturer of the item being calibrated;

562 3. The model of the item being calibrated;

563 4. The serial number or other unique identifying information;

564 5. The name of the institution/client submitting the item for calibration;

565 6. The name of the individual(s) performing calibration;

566 7. The identifying information of laboratory equipment and *working standards* used to  
567 perform calibrations, such as make, model and serial number (or the location of  
568 where this information can be found);

569 8. Instrument readings, including environmental conditions (temperature, pressure,  
570 humidity) at the calibration point;

571 9. All calculations of calibration-coefficient correction factors;

572 10. Any observed deviations from normal behavior or normal performance

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

- 573 characteristics;
- 574 11. Any significant modifications to instrument performance, such as upgrades and  
575 repairs.
- 576 b. The procedure for rejection of items submitted for calibration should include consideration  
577 of the following:
- 578 1. Mechanical problems: generally determined by visual inspection. Examples  
579 include inadequate chamber waterproofing, broken thimbles, loose stems, etc.
- 580 2. Electrical problems: generally determined by deviations from normal operational  
581 behavior or normal performance characteristics. Examples include excessive  
582 leakage, excessive stabilization time, etc.
- 583 3. Any problems that impact accuracy, consistency or other aspects of performance.  
584 (Guidelines for rejection presented above and in subsequent sections pertaining  
585 to specific calibration services are not intended to limit the judgment of an ADCL,  
586 but to provide consistent criteria in support of rejection of items submitted for  
587 calibration.)
- 588 4. The reasons for rejection of an instrument or brachytherapy source should be  
589 communicated to the client in a timely fashion.
- 590 c. The procedure for monitoring and controlling environmental conditions shall comply with  
591 the following:
- 592 1. Ambient temperature, pressure, and relative humidity shall be stable, and be  
593 measured at a frequency such that values and variations are consistent with the  
594 stated calibration uncertainty.
- 595 2. Calibrations performed when the laboratory relative humidity is between 20 % and  
596 80% need not be corrected for humidity. Suspension of calibrations or application  
597 of correction factors may be necessary when relative humidity is outside this range.
- 598 3. Certain tests and calibrations require specific environmental conditions to exist at  
599 the time of measurements (e.g. low background radiation) that may be affected by  
600 other operations in or outside the laboratory. Procedures for such sensitive tests  
601 and calibrations shall require the evaluation of the environmental conditions (such  
602 as background radiation) prior to the commencement of such tests and the  
603 suspension or rescheduling of either the tests or of other activities having an  
604 adverse effect on the environmental conditions.
- 605 4. The laboratory shall compare barometers and thermometers at least annually, and  
606 log such comparisons. Whenever the tolerances established in the laboratory  
607 protocol are exceeded during the comparisons, the appropriate instruments shall  
608 be recalibrated or replaced.
- 609 d. The procedure for reviewing calibration data and signing calibration reports;
- 610 e. The procedure for comparing and/or calibrating each piece of listed laboratory  
611 equipment, and a statement of the frequency at which this is done;
- 612 f. Procedures necessary to achieve a calibration that falls within the uncertainty limits  
613 stipulated for each accredited calibration service.

- 614 g. Procedures for laboratory instrument calibration and procedures for data recording shall  
615 be documented and should be formulated so as to reveal changes in the performance  
616 of any laboratory equipment on which calibrations depend.
- 617 h. An analysis of the way in which laboratory procedures achieve redundancy in a  
618 measurement.
- 619 i. Digital readouts should be used when available unless specified by the client.
- 620 j. With auto-ranging electrometers, calibrations should be performed within the calibrated  
621 range requested by the client or an available clinically relevant range with the  
622 concurrence of the client, but shall be limited to the range approved on the scope of  
623 accreditation.
- 624 k. The laboratory shall review their protocols at least annually and whenever changes are  
625 made to such procedures, to ensure compatibility with laboratory quality-assurance  
626 goals and AAPM accreditation requirements.
- 627 l. The laboratory shall have a procedure for updating its protocol

628 **6.1.3 Calibration service quality requirements:**

629 An ADCL shall ensure that each accredited calibration service shall meet the following  
630 quality requirements:

- 631 a. A comprehensive uncertainty budget for each calibration service shall be developed and  
632 maintained to include the combined expanded ( $k=2$ ) ADCL component of the uncertainty  
633 and the total expanded uncertainty (that combines ADCL and NIST components of  
634 uncertainty). (Appendix A: Guidelines for Uncertainty Assessment furnishes an example  
635 protocol for developing an uncertainty budget.)
- 636 1. Measurement uncertainties shall comply with limits specified for each calibration  
637 service (see tables in subsections below pertaining to specific calibration services).
  - 638 2. Measurement uncertainties shall be reassessed during laboratory document  
639 review or when changes are made pertaining to the associated calibration service  
640 (see tables in subsections below pertaining to specific calibration services).
- 641 b. Traceability to NIST of accredited calibrations shall be maintained by:
- 642 1. Inclusion of a NIST component of uncertainty in the total uncertainty provided in  
643 calibration reports, to be derived from laboratory standards used for calibration;
  - 644 2. "Satisfactory" performance in NIST *Proficiency Test* (PT), required as scheduled  
645 by the CLA (nominal intervals for which are stipulated for relevant calibration  
646 services in appropriate subsections below). Performance is "Satisfactory" if  
647

648 
$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{|x - y|}{\sqrt{u_x^2 + u_y^2}} \leq 1.0, \text{ where}$$

649

650  $x$  is the ADCL measurement result;  
651  $y$  is the NIST measurement result;  
652  $U_x$  is the ADCL component of the expanded uncertainty ( $k=2$ , expressed as an  
653 absolute uncertainty to yield  $\epsilon$  as a unitless quantity);  
654  $U_y$  is the NIST component of the expanded uncertainty in  $y$  ( $k=2$ , expressed as an  
655 absolute uncertainty to yield  $\epsilon$  as a unitless quantity).

656 Note:  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  are independent quantities with no cross-correlation. The uncertainty  
657 associated with the NIST standard must not be included because it is common to  
658 all ADCLs and NIST. Upper limits of  $U_x$  for each calibration service are given  
659 below.

- 660 c. The ADCL participates in *ADCL intercomparisons*.
- 661 1. The ADCL that initiates the ADCL intercomparison repeats the calibrations /  
662 measurements at the end of the comparison to make sure that the instrument /  
663 source was not damaged or altered during the comparison.
- 664 2. All calibration results, including the repeat values from the initiating laboratory,  
665 are sent to the CLA Chair for analysis. The comparison covers the areas of  
666 accreditation of each laboratory to the same areas of the other laboratories in the  
667 system (conversion to NIST beam codes when necessary)
- 668 d. The ADCL achieves satisfactory performance in *ADCL intercomparisons* (also known as  
669 Round Robins, RR). Performance in an RR is “Satisfactory” if the following conditions  
670 are met:
- 671 1. Repeat values from the start and end of the RR from the same ADCL indicate  
672 instrument/device consistency as evaluated via:

673 
$$\epsilon_{R1} \equiv \frac{|x_f - x_i|}{\sqrt{2}U} < 1.0, \text{ where}$$
  
674  $x_i$  and  $x_f$  denote repeat values (initial and final, respectively);  
675  $U$  denotes the ADCL component of the expanded uncertainty ( $k = 2$ , expressed  
676 as an absolute uncertainty to yield  $\epsilon$  as a unitless quantity).  
677 *Failure of this criterion invalidates the RR for all participating ADCLs and may*  
678 *necessitate a repeat of the RR.*

- 679 2. The agreement of an ADCL with the other participating ADCLs does not exceed  
680 unity, as determine by

681 
$$\epsilon_i \equiv \frac{|x_i - x_j|}{U_{ij}} \leq 1.0, \text{ where}$$
  
682  $x_i$  is the ADCL measurement for ADCL  $i$  ( $i \forall$  ADCLs,  $i \neq j$ );  
683  $x_j$  is the ADCL measurement for ADCL  $j$  ( $j \forall$  ADCLs  $j \neq i$ );  
684  $U_{ij}$  is the expanded uncertainty of the difference  $|x_i - x_j|$  ( $k=2$ , expressed as an  
685 absolute uncertainty to yield  $\epsilon$  as a unitless quantity).  
686

687 Correlated components between  $U_i$  (the expanded uncertainty in  $x_i$ ) and  $U_j$  (the  
688 expanded uncertainty in  $x_j$ ) shall be excluded in computing  $U_{ij}$ . E.g. for  
689 calibrations involving a NIST source, uncertainty components due to calibration  
690 of the NIST source are correlated between the calibration of the reference-  
691 standards used at ADCL  $i$  and ADCL  $j$ , while the NIST calibration measurements  
692 of the reference-standard devices used at ADCL  $i$  and ADCL  $j$  are uncorrelated.  
693 *Failure of this criterion for any of the pairwise comparisons may necessitate repeating the RR for*  
694 *a subset of participating ADCLs, as determined by the CLA Chair.*

- 695 e. Local ADCL reference standards for electrical quantities (6.1.1.4)
- 696 1. shall be inter-compared with redundant equipment annually;
  - 697 2. at least one of the inter-compared electrical standards in each comparison set  
698 shall be calibrated at least biennially (preferably at another facility). All such  
699 calibrations shall be traceable to NIST.
- 700 f. When possible, measurement procedures shall have a redundant *method* of determining  
701 a physical quantity to backup or confirm the primary measurement *method* (e.g.  
702 calculating decay rate and comparing to measurements of dose rate, or measuring  
703 charge and charge rate with a chamber and electrometer and comparing).
- 704 g. Redundant equipment shall be compared with NIST-traceable calibrated equipment at  
705 least annually for the express purpose of identifying and quantifying significant changes  
706 that may have caused or might lead to an error in a calibration.

#### 707 6.1.4 Reporting of Calibration Results

708 In addition to the requirements stated in ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Section 7.8 Reporting of Results,  
709 all calibration reports for calibrations accredited by the AAPM shall include the following:

- 710 a. Name and address of the ADCL;
- 711 b. Report date;
- 712 c. Report number;
- 713 d. Complete name and address of person or institution to whom the calibration report is issued;
- 714 e. The manufacturer of the item being calibrated;
- 715 f. The model of the item being calibrated;
- 716 g. The serial number or other unique identifier of instruments or source being calibrated;
- 717 h. The date of calibration;
- 718 i. A unique identifier to access the data used in the determination of reported results;
- 719 j. Application of all appropriate correction factors. With the exception of electrometer  
720 calibrations, the calibration coefficients stated in the calibration report shall be corrected to  
721 standard reference conditions of 22°C (295.15 kelvin), 760 millimeters of Hg (101.325 kPa),  
722 and relative humidity (within the range of 20 % to 80 %), unless otherwise noted (sealed ion  
723 chambers) or requested by the client (e.g., calibration done at 20°C).
- 724 k. A statement of any significant modifications to instrument performance, if applicable;
- 725 l. A statement of any condition that may introduce a significant potential error, if applicable;
- 726 m. The combined expanded uncertainty (with a coverage factor  $k=2$ ) which includes the NIST  
727 uncertainty of the standards used in the calibration.





729 **6.2 Criteria for Calibration of Electrometers**

730 This Section contains accreditation criteria for the calibration of electrometers used for the  
731 purpose of radiation dosimetry.

732 **6.2.1 Equipment and Facilities**

733 The laboratory shall have and use equipment and facilities described below: (*italicized terms are*  
734 *defined in the glossary*).

735 6.2.1.1 At least two *Working Standard* charge sources, capable of delivering NIST-traceable  
736 quantities. These may consist of calibrated, reference-class capacitors (capacitor  
737 exhibiting stability of better than 0.1% per year, and dielectric absorption of less than  
738 0.01 % in 10 seconds) combined with a reference-class voltage source (with a  
739 stability better than 0.05% per year) and/or reference-class voltmeter (capable of  
740 displaying at least five digits, exhibit an accuracy of better than 0.03%, and be  
741 capable of measuring at least 600 volts.).

742 6.2.1.2 A local ADCL *Reference Standard* to calibrate charge sources. This may be one of  
743 several possible *Reference Class Devices or Working Standard* assemblages, such  
744 as:

- 745 a. an electrometer, including a coulombmeter;
- 746 b. or a set of capacitors, a voltmeter, and an electrometer;
- 747 c. or a set of resistors, a voltmeter, a timer, and an electrometer

748 6.2.1.3 At least two *Working Standard* current sources, capable of delivering NIST-traceable  
749 quantities. These may consist of calibrated, reference class resistors (stability of  
750 better than 0.1 % per year) combined with a means to measure an applied voltage

751 6.2.1.4 Local ADCL *Reference Standard* to calibrate current sources. This may be a  
752 *Reference Quality Device or Working Standard* assemblages, such as:

- 753 a. an electrometer, including an ammeter;
- 754 b. a set of resistors, a voltmeter, and an electrometer.

755 6.2.1.5 A means of providing known voltages with accuracy and precision consistent with  
756 the quality assurance goals of the laboratory.

757 6.2.1.6 A means for confirming adequacy in the accuracy of the ADCL's electrometer  
758 exposure timing functions.

759 **6.2.2 Calibration service protocol**

760 The laboratory protocol shall include the following:

- 761 a. Technical records for electrometer calibrations shall include the following:
  - 762 1. Measure of charge collection polarities;
  - 763 2. Readout linearity data, if applicable;
  - 764 3. Pre-measurement leakage (zero drift);
  - 765 4. Post-measurement leakage (holding a charge).
  - 766 5. The calibration coefficients,  $P_{elec,Q}$ , for charge, and  $P_{elec,I}$ , for current, shall be
  - 767 expressed in terms of charge or current per reading.

- 768 6. The range for which the calibration coefficients  $P_{elec,Q}$  and  $P_{elec,I}$  are valid shall  
769 be stated.
- 770 b. Rejection of items submitted for electrometer calibration should consider the  
771 following:
- 772 1. Leakage: If the background signal is greater than 0.05 % of the indicated  
773 calibrated range for the rate mode and 0.05 % per minute of the indicated  
774 calibrated range for the charge mode, the electrometer may be subject to  
775 rejection.
- 776 2. Scale linearity: The ratio of the electrometer output reading to the known value  
777 of input is to be constant to within 0.5 % over the central two-thirds of the  
778 calibrated range. If not, the electrometer may be subject to rejection.
- 779 3. Digit fluctuation: When the max-to-min fluctuation of the reading on the  
780 electrometer exceeds the greater of 0.1 % of the signal or one least-significant  
781 digit on digital readouts, the electrometer may be subject to rejection.

782 **6.2.3 Calibration service quality requirements:**

783 Per Section 6.1.3, uncertainty limits and recommended intervals for PT/RRs for calibration of  
784 electrometers are shown in Table below:

Instrument and Source		Cal Coeff	Expanded (k=2) uncertainty		PT/RR
			ADCL (%)	Total (%)	Nominal Interval (y)
Electrometers	charge	$P_{elec,Q}$	$\leq 0.3$	$\leq 0.5$	4
	current	$P_{elec,I}$	$\leq 0.4$	$\leq 0.5$	4

785 **6.2.4 Reporting of Calibration results:**

786 All calibration reports for electrometer calibrations shall include:

- 787 a. List of the calibration coefficients with the appropriate scales;
- 788 b. Notation of the scale reading at which a correction or calibration coefficient  
789 applies;
- 790 c. All electrometer settings;
- 791 d. Information about instruments and settings for ADCL local standards used  
792 to calibrate the electrometer (for example, capacitance values and  
793 magnitude and polarity of the polarizing potential applied with the calibrated  
794 voltage source.  
795

796 **6.3 Criteria for Calibration of Diagnostic X-ray Chambers**

797 This section contains accreditation criteria for air-kerma ( $N_K$ ) calibration of dosimeters and  
798 survey meters for the measurement of radiation produced by diagnostic x-ray machines. For  
799 diagnostic dosimeters, a distinction is made between reference-class and field-class devices, as  
800 follows:

801 Reference-class diagnostic dosimeter: A dosimeter capable of being calibrated in diagnostic x-  
802 ay beams (50 kVp to 150 kVp) to within an uncertainty of 2.5 % ( $k = 2$ ) or a mammography  
803 dosimeter capable of being calibrated in mammography beams (20 kVp to 50 kVp) to within an  
804 uncertainty of 2 % ( $k = 2$ ) relative to the NIST standard as absolute (i.e. excluding uncertainties  
805 in the NIST standard) and possessing a record of long-term stability of better than 0.5 % change  
806 per year.

807 Field-class diagnostic dosimeter: A dosimeter used to measure levels of radiation from common  
808 medical diagnostic x-ray sources in the field that is capable of being calibrated in diagnostic  
809 beams (50 kVp to 150 kVp) to within an uncertainty of 5 % ( $k = 2$ ) or a mammography dosimeter  
810 calibrated in mammography beams (20 kVp to 50 kVp) to within an uncertainty of 3 % ( $k = 2$ )  
811 relative to the NIST standard as absolute (i.e. excluding uncertainties in the NIST standard).

812 Laboratories may be accredited for calibrating reference-class and/or field-class diagnostic  
813 dosimeters in any one or more of the categories itemized below:

814 General diagnostic dosimeters: instruments used to measure radiation levels from diagnostic  
815 beams with a nominal kVp in the range of 50 kVp to 120 kVp at air kerma rates greater than  
816 0.5 mGy per minute;

817 Mammographic dosimeters: instruments used to measure radiation levels from diagnostic  
818 beams with a nominal kVp in the range of 20 kVp to 50 kVp;

819 Computed tomographic dosimeters: instruments used to measure radiation levels from  
820 diagnostic beams with a nominal kVp in the range of 70 kVp to 150 kVp;

821 Low-dose-rate dosimeters: instruments used to measure radiation levels from diagnostic beams  
822 with a nominal kVp in the range of 50 kVp to 120 kVp and at air kerma rates less than 0.5 mGy  
823 per minute.

824 Laboratories may be accredited for calibrating diagnostic x-ray survey meters, defined as  
825 follows:

826 Diagnostic x-ray survey meter: An instrument used to measure levels of ambient leakage or  
827 scatter radiation produced by diagnostic x-ray beams that is capable of being calibrated to within  
828 an uncertainty of 10 % ( $k = 2$ ) in diagnostic beams (20 kVp to 150 kVp) and at air kerma rates  
829 greater than 10  $\mu$ Gy/h.

830 **6.3.1 Equipment and Facilities**

831 The laboratory shall have and use equipment and facilities described below:

832 6.3.1.1 Reference-class ionization chambers for each accredited category:

833 For each accredited dosimeter category, the laboratory shall have two reference-class  
834 ionization chambers with the following specifications:

- 835 a. Each reference-class ionization chamber used as a laboratory standard (for accredited  
836 beam qualities) shall have been calibrated at NIST.
- 837 b. The operating range of each reference chamber (or set of reference chambers) shall  
838 cover the in-scope range of beam qualities for the applicable scope category
- 839 c. The calibration coefficient for each chamber shall be consistent with the overall accuracy  
840 goals of the laboratory
- 841 d. Each chamber shall have appropriate wall thickness or buildup cap. Table 1 of the AAPM  
842 TG-61 report (Ma et al., 2001) provides wall thicknesses to provide full buildup as a  
843 function of x-ray tube potential.
- 844 e. For each chamber, the signal stability with age and environmental conditions shall be  
845 monitored to ensure operation is consistent with the overall accuracy goals of the  
846 laboratory.
- 847 f. Any one chamber may qualify for more than one category of diagnostic-type accreditation  
848 as long as it meets the requirements of each category.

849 6.3.1.2 The laboratory shall have a device for testing and documenting laboratory and client  
850 ionization chamber atmospheric communication performance.

851 6.3.1.3 Chamber-positioning devices of a type and quality adequate to restrict chamber-  
852 positioning error to a level consistent with uncertainty goals. The calibration position  
853 should be so located that scattered radiation shall not introduce a measurement  
854 error inconsistent with uncertainty goals;

855 6.3.1.4 Chamber polarization device:

856 At least one source of electric potential suitable for chamber polarization and charge  
857 measurement is required. The electrical potential should be known to within 1 % and the impact  
858 of electrical potential variations during a measurement session is quantified and included in the  
859 uncertainty budget.

860 6.3.1.5 X-ray machine(s)

861 In all cases the laboratory shall use x-ray machines that satisfy the following requirements:

- 862 a. X-ray generator voltage waveforms shall have a voltage waveform ripple of no more than  
863 20 % peak-to-peak (with respect to the maximum voltage) at the nominal beam qualities  
864 specified for each category of calibration.
- 865 b. Machines shall be sufficiently collimated to minimize scatter to a level consistent with the  
866 overall accuracy goals. In all directions in the reference plane of the x-ray field, the linear  
867 dimensions of the field shall be at least 1.5 times larger than the corresponding linear  
868 dimension of the active volume of the dosimeter to be calibrated.
- 869 c. The calibration field profile shall be measured parallel and perpendicular to the anode-  
870 target direction on a plane perpendicular to the beam. Over the central 80 % of the

- 871 calibration field profile measured perpendicular to the beam, the radiation field intensity  
872 shall not vary by more than 5 % from the maximum intensity.
- 873 d. All beam-quality calibration points provided by the lab shall be consistent with NIST beam  
874 qualities (i.e. first and second HVL). For this purpose, the lab shall have a set of *Certified*  
875 *Reference Material* aluminum filters sufficient for the determination of first and second  
876 HVL, with the following specifications: purity of at least 99.99% for calibrations of  
877 mammographic dosimeters and at least 99.9% for any other category of dosimeter.
- 878 1. All half-value layers shall be measured with these aluminum filters to within a  
879 precision of 4% ( $k=2$ ).
- 880 e. The following requirements apply for calibration of each category of diagnostic  
881 dosimeter:
- 882 1. For general diagnostic dosimeters: a tungsten anode tube and x-ray machine  
883 operating at nominal kVp ranging from 50 kVp to 120 kVp and capable of generating  
884 beams with a first half-value layer of 1 mm to 7 mm of aluminum.
- 885 2. For mammography dosimeters: an x-ray machine with an anode material that  
886 matches the anode material of a NIST mammography beam code, with filtration  
887 materials matching the NIST filtration materials for the anode material. (molybdenum  
888 or rhodium anode with molybdenum, rhodium, or aluminum filtration). The x-ray  
889 machines nominal tube potential shall be between 23 and 35 kVp. Molybdenum  
890 anode machines shall be capable of generating beams with a first half-value layers  
891 of 0.28 mm to at least 0.39 mm of aluminum. Rhodium anode machines shall be  
892 capable of generating beams with a first half-value layer of 0.35 to at least 0.85 mm  
893 of aluminum. Mammography HVLs shall be known to within +/- 0.01 mm.
- 894 3. For computed tomography dosimeters: a tungsten anode tube and x-ray machine  
895 operating with nominal kVp ranging from  $\leq 100$  kVp to  $\geq 150$  kVp and capable of  
896 generating beams with a first half-value layer of  $\leq 5$  mm to  $\geq 10$  mm of aluminum.  
897 HVLs shall be known to within +/- 0.1 mm.
- 898 4. For low-dose-rate dosimeters: a tungsten anode tube and x-ray machine operating  
899 at nominal kVp ranging from 50 kVp to 120 kVp and capable of generating beams  
900 with a first half-value layer of at least 1 mm of aluminum. HVLs shall be known to  
901 within +/- 0.01 mm.
- 902 5. For diagnostic x-ray survey meters: a tungsten anode tube and x-ray machine  
903 operating at nominal kVp ranging from 50 kVp to 150 kVp.

904 6.3.1.6 Transmission monitor:

905 Each x-ray machine shall be equipped with a transmission monitor.

- 906 a. The transmission monitor's collector shall be large enough to intercept the entire beam  
907 b. Vented transmission monitors shall be corrected for ambient air density in the vicinity of  
908 the monitor.  
909 c. When applicable, monitor chamber heating from the x-ray tube usage shall be accounted  
910 for.  
911 d. The transmission monitor shall be sufficient to monitor the radiation exposure delivered  
912 to the calibration field area and to meet the accuracy goals of the laboratory for each  
913 accredited beam quality.

914 6.3.1.7 Device to assess accuracy and stability of kVp:

915 A device is required to assess the accuracy and stability of the kVp and it shall be able to  
916 measure the kVp to within 2 % or 0.5 kVp of the intended value, whichever is larger, with a  
917 precision of 1 % ( $k = 2$ ).

918 **6.3.2 Calibration service protocol**

919 The laboratory protocol shall include the following:

- 920 a. Technical records for diagnostic dosimeter and x-ray survey meter calibrations shall  
921 include the following:
- 922 1. Chamber orientation with respect to beam direction by a defining mark.
  - 923 2. Special geometry considerations.
  - 924 3. Method and results of atmospheric communication verification, where appropriate.  
925 Some chambers have communication openings which may be checked with  
926 appropriate tools. Others require the use of a device for testing atmospheric  
927 communication.
  - 928 4. Full-to-half voltage charge ratio (devices with adjustable bias only).
  - 929 5. Beam quality, kVp and mA of the x-ray generator.
  - 930 6. Collection times.
  - 931 7. Results and precision findings for rate-mode measurements at an air-kerma rate  
932 within the useful range of the device being calibrated.
  - 933 8. Results of other tests performed, such as scale linearity, air communication and ion  
934 recombination.
- 935 b. Rejection of items submitted for diagnostic dosimeter and survey meter calibration  
936 should consider the following:
- 937 1. If the calibration coefficient differs from past or expected values by more than 3.0 %,  
938 the cause should be investigated and the chamber may be subject to rejection.
  - 939 2. If the leakage of the chamber exceeds 0.5 % of the signal, a warning should be  
940 issued and if it exceeds 2.0 %, the chamber may be subject to rejection.
  - 941 3. If an air vented ionization chamber does not equilibrate with ambient pressure in  
942 one minute, it may be subject to rejection. If the chamber is designed to be sealed  
943 or pressurized and it vents to the atmosphere, it may be subject to rejection.

944 **6.3.3 Calibration service quality requirements:**

- 945 a. Per Section 6.1.3, uncertainty limits and recommended intervals for PT/RRs for  
946 calibration of dosimeters and survey meters for radiation produced by diagnostic x-ray  
947 machines:

Instrument and Source		Cal Coeff	Expanded (k=2) uncertainty		PT/RR
			ADCL (%)	Total (%)	Nominal Interval (y)
Reference-class mammography dosimeters	20 kVp- 50 kVp	N <sub>K</sub>	≤1.5	≤ 2.0	4
Reference-class for categories <i>other than</i> mammography dosimeters	50 kVp- 150 kVp	N <sub>K</sub>	≤3.2	≤ 3.5	4
Field-class mammography dosimeters	20 kVp- 50 kVp	N <sub>K</sub>	≤2.6	≤ 3.0	-
Field-class instruments for categories <i>other than</i> mammography dosimeters	50 kVp- 150 kVp	N <sub>K</sub>	≤4.6	≤ 5.0	-
Survey meters	All beam qualities	N <sub>K</sub>	≤9.4	≤ 10	-

- 948 6.3.3.1 A procedure for establishing accredited beams and verifying beam qualities.
- 949 6.3.3.2 A procedure for measuring the thickness of the aluminum filters used to measure  
950 HVL.
- 951 **6.3.4 Reporting of Calibration Results**
- 952 All calibration reports for diagnostic dosimeter and survey meter calibrations shall include:
- 953 a. Calibration coefficients appropriately corrected for reference conditions (6.1.4);
- 954 b. Meter or scale range at which a calibration coefficient applies;
- 955 c. Electrometer settings of the calibrated instrument, if applicable;
- 956 d. Magnitude and polarity of the polarizing potential, if applicable;
- 957 e. Chamber leakage at time of calibration;

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

- 958 f. Beam quality;  
959 g. Beam size;  
960 h. Source-to-chamber distance;  
961 i. Nominal air kerma rate;  
962 j. Angle of the chamber axis relative to the beam axis;  
963 k. In addition, the following are required:  
964 1. The *calibration coefficients* contained in the report shall be given in units of *air kerma* or  
965 air kerma rate per unit of meter reading. Other units such as units of exposure or exposure  
966 rate may also be given in the report as required by the client.  
967 2. When a cable-connected ionization chamber is submitted without an accompanying  
968 electrometer, the calibration coefficient shall be expressed in terms of air kerma per unit  
969 charge.  
970 3. For ionization chambers designed to communicate with the atmosphere, the report shall  
971 state the adequacy of the chamber communication, when atmospheric communication  
972 testing is possible. If the chamber cannot be tested for atmospheric communication, this  
973 shall be stated in the calibration report.  
974 4. If the wall thickness of the chamber or other performance characteristics of the dosimeter  
975 are not suitable for the calibration beam quality, a statement on how this might affect the  
976 performance of the measuring device (e.g., energy dependence due to thick wall or  
977 characteristics of the detector) shall be included in the report.  
978 5. The report shall include a statement regarding the applicability of the calibration for those  
979 units that have a temperature and/or a pressure sensing unit or other features that  
980 compensate for atmospheric conditions. The report shall clearly state the conditions  
981 under which the calibration was done and the limitations that apply.  
982



983 **6.4 Criteria for Calibration of Therapy Ionization Chambers**

984 This Section contains accreditation criteria for air-kerma ( $N_K$ ) or absorbed dose to water ( $N_{D,w}$ )  
985 calibrations of therapy ionization chambers in Co-60 and Cs-137 beams, and air kerma in kV x-  
986 ray beams.

987 **6.4.1 Equipment and Facilities**

988 The laboratory shall have and use equipment and facilities described below:

989 6.4.1.1 Two reference-class ionization chambers, each with calibration directly traceable to  
990 NIST for each calibration service within the laboratory's scope of accreditation.  
991 Reference-class ionization chambers shall meet the specifications in Table III of the  
992 Addendum to the TG-51 protocol for photon beam reference dosimetry (McEwen et  
993 al., 2014).

994 6.4.1.2 *Working standard* ionization chambers may be used for calibrations.

995 6.4.1.3 The laboratory shall have a device for testing and documenting ionization chamber  
996 atmospheric communication performance. When possible, the laboratory shall  
997 establish whether an ionization chamber communicates with the atmosphere. Some  
998 chambers have communication openings which may be checked with appropriate  
999 tools. Others require the use of a device for testing atmospheric communication;

1000 6.4.1.4 Chamber-positioning device for each calibration unit (Co-60, x-ray etc.) and  
1001 calibration configuration ( $N_{D,w}$ ,  $N_K$ ) of sufficient precision, accuracy and stability to  
1002 meet laboratory uncertainty goals;

- 1003 a. The point of calibration shall be of sufficient distance from the source of radiation such  
1004 that the positioning error in distance is minimized to a level consistent with calibration  
1005 uncertainty goals.  
1006 b. The calibration position should be located such that scattered radiation will not introduce  
1007 a measurement error inconsistent with calibration uncertainty goals.  
1008 c. For absorbed-dose-to-water calibrations, the positioning device should allow for  
1009 placement of the chamber at nominal depth of 5 cm ( $5 \text{ g/cm}^2$ ) in the water phantom.

1010 6.4.1.5 For calibrations of absorbed dose to water, a water phantom having minimum filled  
1011 volume with dimensions of 30 cm x 30 cm x 30 cm, with the following  
1012 accommodations and requirements for use of ionization chambers in water:

- 1013 a. The phantom shall be regularly monitored for water leaks to guard against water volume  
1014 changes that might impact dosimetry measurements;  
1015 b. Chambers that are not inherently waterproof may be inserted in a latex sheath or PMMA  
1016 sleeve of 1 mm maximum wall thickness. Use of non-client supplied sleeves or sheaths  
1017 shall be noted on the calibration report;  
1018 c. Rubber or other non-PMMA or non-latex sheaths are not permitted for chamber  
1019 calibrations;  
1020 d. The calibration of a chamber is to be performed by the substitution technique. There can  
1021 be a number of chambers substituted in a given session between the initial and final  
1022 reference or working standard chamber irradiations.

- 1023 e. The beam may enter from either the top or a side of the phantom. For entrance from a  
1024 side, the wall of the entrance side should not exceed 7 mm water equivalent of material  
1025 with an effective atomic number of less than 10.

1026 6.4.1.6 Requirements for beam sources:

- 1027 a. For calibrations in Co-60 or Cs-137, a Co-60 unit or a Cs-137 unit (not necessarily  
1028 dedicated) with intensity adequate to provide calibrations that meet the requirements of  
1029 this document, including the following:
- 1030 1. The calibration distance shall be 80 cm source-to-chamber distance (SCD) or  
1031 greater;
  - 1032 2. The minimum distance between the measurement point and collimator, other  
1033 structures, and a device, such as a transmission chamber shall be 25 cm;
  - 1034 3. The collimators shall establish a 10 cm x 10 cm square field at the calibration  
1035 position. The 10 cm x 10 cm field size is defined by the 50 % isodose line.
- 1036 b. For calibrations in kV x-rays, an x-ray unit (not necessarily dedicated) capable of  
1037 generating beams with half-value-layers of approximately 1 mm Al to at least 2.5 mm  
1038 Cu. The intensity and stability of the x-ray unit must be adequate to provide calibrations  
1039 that meet the requirements of this document, including the following:
- 1040 1. A set of *Certified Reference Material* copper filters having certified purities of  
1041 99.9% or greater and of appropriate thicknesses for determining half-value layers  
1042 and homogeneity coefficients for all x-ray calibration beams.
  - 1043 2. A set of *Certified Reference Material* aluminum absorbers having certified purities  
1044 of 99.99% or greater and of appropriate thicknesses for determining x-ray beam  
1045 half-value layers and homogeneity coefficients for all x-ray calibration beams.
  - 1046 3. The collimators on x-ray sources shall establish suitable square or circular field  
1047 sizes (e.g. a 10 cm x 10 cm square or a 10 cm diameter circular field at the  
1048 calibration position (defined at the 50 % intensity level in air or FWHM))
  - 1049 4. A full-beam transmission monitor with a means either to stabilize or to measure  
1050 the temperature of the detection volume. The transmission monitor shall provide  
1051 a precision of 0.1 % for the quantity being measured.
- 1052 c. The radiation field shall be sufficiently collimated to minimize scatter to a level  
1053 consistent with the overall accuracy goals.
- 1054 d. In-plane and cross-plane field profiles covering the field size shall be measured using  
1055 the relative response of a suitable detector (e.g., film, ion chamber, or detector array) in  
1056 the plane of the calibration position.
- 1057 1. For air-kerma, field profile measurements shall be made in air, with appropriate  
1058 buildup. The field profile is a measure of ionization charge or air-kerma as a  
1059 function of position in the field.
  - 1060 2. For dose-to-water calibrations, the field profile measurements shall be made at  
1061 the calibration depth in water. The field profile is a measure of ionization  
1062 chamber charge or absorbed dose-to-water as a function of position in the field.
- 1063 e. Using the profiles from (d), the calibration field shall be characterized for each type of  
1064 calibration (e.g., air kerma, absorbed dose to water) and (if applicable) phantom used  
1065 for calibration. The characterization shall:

- 1066 1. Allow determination of the beam central-axis location at the calibration distance  
1067 consistent with the laboratory uncertainty goals.  
1068 2. The field size shall be determined as the distance between the two 50 % points  
1069 (FWHM) on orthogonal profiles through the beam central axis for square fields, or  
1070 the diameter for circular fields.  
1071 3. The beam central-axis on the calibration plane shall be defined as the geometric  
1072 center of the region defined by the 50% isodose or isokerma contour.  
1073 4. Beam uniformity measurements shall be used to estimate the calibration  
1074 uncertainty due to detector positioning uncertainties in a non-uniform field, or  
1075 used to derive appropriate correction factors if necessary.  
1076 5. The laboratory shall utilize measurements and calculations to demonstrate and  
1077 document that the field is suitable to accomplish the calibration objectives,  
1078 including but not limited to accuracy, precision, and uncertainty of the calibration.  
1079 This includes but is not limited to:  
1080 i. Rigorous uncertainty analyses (propagation of errors and sensitivity tests).  
1081 ii. Statistical analysis of measurement results.  
1082 iii. Consideration of all relevant uncertainties in the calibration process, including  
1083 but not limited to the data listed above, timer errors, fluctuations in ambient  
1084 conditions, etc.  
1085 iv. Clear and complete documentation explaining how uncertainties stated in the  
1086 scope of accreditation were determined and how uncertainties in a client's  
1087 calibration report are determined.

1088 **6.4.2 Calibration Service Protocol**

1089 The laboratory protocol shall include the following:

- 1090 a. Technical records shall include the following:
- 1091 1. Beam quality;
  - 1092 2. Beam intensity;
  - 1093 3. Field size;
  - 1094 4. Environmental conditions (pressure, temperature and humidity);
  - 1095 5. Atmospheric communication findings;
  - 1096 6. Polarizing potentials and polarities for charge collection;
  - 1097 7. Readout linearity data, if applicable;
  - 1098 8. Source-to-chamber distance;
  - 1099 9. Leakage current (including stem leakage, if applicable);
  - 1100 10. Orientation of the chamber;
  - 1101 11. Location of chamber reference point, including depth when applicable;
  - 1102 12. Ion-collection efficiency if measured;
  - 1103 13. For air-kerma calibrations:
    - 1104 i. Presence and material of buildup cap, if appropriate;
  - 1105 14. For absorbed-dose-to-water calibrations:
    - 1106 i. Temperature of the water inside the water phantom;
    - 1107 ii. If a sleeve is used, information pertaining to its dimensions and material

- 1108 composition.
- 1109 b. Technical Procedures:
- 1110 1. Each chamber shall be calibrated to the reference point specified by the AAPM
- 1111 calibration protocol. For TG51 cylindrical chambers shall be calibrated to the
- 1112 center of the active volume and parallel-plate chambers shall be calibrated to the
- 1113 inside surface of the entrance window.
- 1114 2. Calibration coefficients shall be determined for negative charge collection if not
- 1115 specifically requested by a client.
- 1116 3. The ion recombination effect shall be measured by the full voltage and half voltage
- 1117 technique.(Almond et al., 1999) The final factor,  $N_{D,W, 60Co}$  or  $N_K$ , applies to 100 %
- 1118 collection efficiency. Thus,  $N_{D,W, 60Co}$  or  $N_K$ , shall reflect the chamber corrected to
- 1119 100 % collection efficiency.
- 1120 4. The calibration coefficient,  $N_{D,W, 60Co}$  or  $N_K$ , shall be expressed in terms of
- 1121 absorbed dose to water per unit charge (Gy/C).
- 1122 5. For air-kerma calibrations
- 1123 i. Appropriate thickness buildup caps shall be utilized. (Ma et al., 2001)
- 1124 ii. For parallel plate chamber calibrations in low energy x-rays (tube potentials
- 1125 below 70 kVp), client supplied buildup caps shall be used, unless requested
- 1126 otherwise by the client.
- 1127 c. Rejection of items submitted for therapy calibration should consider the following:
- 1128 1. If the ionization chamber calibration coefficient differs from past or expected values
- 1129 by more than 1.0 % for gamma ray calibrations or 2.0 % for x-ray calibrations, the
- 1130 cause should be investigated and the chamber may be subject to rejection.
- 1131 2. If the ionization chamber collection efficiency under calibration conditions is less
- 1132 than 99%, the chamber may be subject to rejection.
- 1133 3. If the ionization chamber leakage charge or current exceeds 1.0 % of the signal
- 1134 measured during calibration, the chamber may be subject to rejection. If the
- 1135 chamber leakage exceeds 0.1% of the signal, a warning or notification shall be
- 1136 issued to the client.
- 1137 4. If an air vented ionization chamber does not equilibrate with ambient pressure in
- 1138 one minute, it may be subject to rejection. If the chamber is designed to be sealed
- 1139 or pressurized and it vents to the atmosphere, it should be rejected.

1140 **6.4.3 Calibration Service Quality Requirements:**

- 1141 a. Per Section 6.1.3, uncertainty limits and recommended intervals for PT/RRs for
- 1142 calibration of therapy ionization chambers:

Instrument and Source		Cal Coeff	Expanded (k=2) uncertainty		PT/RR
			ADCL (%)	Total (%)	Nominal Interval (y)
Reference-class instruments, and ionization chambers submitted alone, suitable for calibration of other instruments with a precision of 0.1 %	<sup>60</sup> Co	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 0.6	≤ 1.5	4
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 0.6	≤ 1.5	10
	x-rays	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 1.0	≤ 1.5	4
	<sup>60</sup> Co	N <sub>D,w</sub>	≤ 0.8	≤ 1.4	4
Field-class digital instruments with 3 ½ or more digits, and ionization chambers submitted alone, suitable for therapy-beam calibration	<sup>60</sup> Co	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 0.6	≤ 1.5	-
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 0.6	≤ 1.5	-
	x-rays	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 2.2	≤ 2.5	-
Field-class digital instruments with fewer than 3 ½ digits, and analog instruments, suitable for therapy-beam calibration	<sup>60</sup> Co	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 0.9	≤ 1.5	-
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 0.9	≤ 1.5	-
	x-rays	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 2.2	≤ 2.5	-

1143 **6.4.4 Reporting of Calibration Results**

1144 All calibration reports for calibrations of therapy instruments in external beams shall include:

- 1145 a. Beam quality;
- 1146 b. Beam size;
- 1147 c. Exposure and air kerma rate or dose rate (as applicable);
- 1148 d. Electrometer settings (switch positions, meter or scale readings) at which calibration
- 1149 coefficient applies;
- 1150 e. Potential applied and polarity of the potential, if applicable;
- 1151 f. Charge polarity collected;
- 1152 g. Pre-irradiation chamber leakage at the time of calibration;
- 1153 h. A notation of the recombination value;
- 1154 i. The measurement calibration reference point with respect to the chamber;
- 1155 j. Source-to-chamber distance;
- 1156 k. Depth of measurement, if applicable;
- 1157 l. Angle of the chamber axis relative to the beam axis and chamber orientation;
- 1158 m. The calibration coefficient shall be expressed in dimensions of absorbed dose per unit
- 1159 reading, air kerma per unit reading or exposure per unit reading.
- 1160

1161 **6.5 Criteria for Calibration of Brachytherapy Sources and Well Chambers**

1162 This Section contains accreditation criteria for the calibration of low-dose-rate (LDR)  
1163 brachytherapy sources and well chambers, electronic brachytherapy (eBT) well chambers, high-  
1164 dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy well chambers, and intravascular brachytherapy (IVBT) well  
1165 chambers.

1166 **6.5.1 Equipment and Facilities**

1167 The laboratory shall have and use equipment and facilities described below:

1168 6.5.1.1 At least one device for measuring the radiation from the sources to be calibrated.  
1169 This device may be a re-entrant well-type ionization chamber or a device for  
1170 measuring at a distance. This device must be equipped with positioning assemblies  
1171 that will allow sources to be measured in multiple repetitions with signal  
1172 reproducibility of  $\pm 0.5\%$  (for LDR, eBT and HDR devices) and  $\pm 2.0\%$  (for IVBT  
1173 devices).

1174 For IVBT calibrations, additional test equipment such as film or linear diode arrays  
1175 may be used to further evaluate the source parameters, but may not be substituted  
1176 for the standard well chamber in the NIST traceability chain of custody.

1177 6.5.1.2 A redundant calibration from the *working standard* shall exist. The redundancy may  
1178 be through an additional measuring device (ionization chamber) or an additional  
1179 radioactive reference source. The redundant measuring device must be completely  
1180 independent of the principal device such that the two would not be expected to  
1181 malfunction in the same way simultaneously. A redundant source must be a  
1182 different, preferably long-lived (half-life > 1 year) isotope.

1183 6.5.1.3 For Ir-192 HDR well chamber calibrations, a reference-class thimble or spherical  
1184 ionization chamber calibrated at NIST for M250 x-ray and Cs-137 radiations.  
1185 Chambers shall have buildup caps as appropriate.

1186 6.5.1.4 A timing device which provides a precision of 0.1 second and is traceable to NIST  
1187 frequency or period standards.

1188 6.5.1.5 Sources:

1189 a. One long half-life source to be used to verify the constancy of calibration devices and  
1190 instruments.

1191 b. Seed model specific reference standard sources: All model-specific reference standard  
1192 sources shall be *directly traceable* to NIST.

1193 c. For LDR calibrations:

- 1194 1. For long half-life sources (>1 year) and LDR chamber calibrations, the laboratory  
1195 shall have at least one sealed source of each isotope, manufacturer, model and  
1196 encapsulation for which calibration will be offered. Each source shall have an  
1197 activity within the range of activities for which routine clinical calibrations will be  
1198 offered. Each source should have physical dimensions and cladding comparable  
1199 to the sources routinely calibrated. Each source shall have *direct traceability* to  
1200 NIST;

- 1201 2. For short half-life (<1 year) sources and chamber calibrations, the laboratory shall  
1202 have at least one *working standard* sealed source (at the time of a requested  
1203 calibration) for the specific manufacturer and source model, which has been  
1204 calibrated in the calibration device using the local standard (e.g., well chamber with  
1205 calibration coefficient traceable to NIST) at the ADCL.
- 1206 d. For eBT well chamber calibrations:
- 1207 1. An eBT system equivalent to the NIST eBT system used for producing x-rays for  
1208 realizing the air-kerma rate of eBT miniature x-ray sources and calibrating eBT well  
1209 chambers.
- 1210 e. For HDR well chamber calibrations:
- 1211 1. An HDR source or access to an HDR source with the same radionuclide that was  
1212 requested for calibration.
- 1213 f. For IVBT calibrations:
- 1214 1. At least one sealed source of each isotope, manufacturer, model and  
1215 encapsulation for which calibration will be offered. This source shall have an  
1216 activity within the range of activities for which routine clinical calibrations will be  
1217 offered. This source should have physical dimensions and cladding comparable to  
1218 the sources routinely calibrated. This source shall have been calibrated at NIST or  
1219 have traceability to NIST.
- 1220 2. For IVB source geometries, the laboratory shall have at least one *working standard*  
1221 sealed source of each manufacturer and type offered for calibration which has  
1222 been calibrated locally in the calibration device.

## 1223 6.5.2 Calibration Service Protocol

1224 The laboratory protocol shall include the following:

- 1225 a. Technical records for LDR or eBT source calibrations shall include the following:
- 1226 1. For LDR seeds, description of source including isotope, physical dimensions, and  
1227 identification code (e.g., manufacturer make, model and serial number). The  
1228 ADCL may require the client to provide sufficient information to describe the  
1229 source;
- 1230 2. Make, model and description of the instruments utilized;
- 1231 3. Description of the calibration technique;
- 1232 4. Identification of the source measurement geometry;
- 1233 5. Identification of timing device or electrometer containing the timing device;
- 1234 6. Reference date and time of calibration;
- 1235 7. Temperature in or at the laboratory well-type ionization chamber (for correction of  
1236 unsealed well chambers);
- 1237 8. Barometric pressure in the measurement area (for correction of unsealed well  
1238 chambers);
- 1239 9. Relative humidity (for correction of unsealed well chambers);
- 1240 10. All readings for standard source and sample source measurements;
- 1241 11. Leak test results;

- 1242 12. Auto-radiograph and/or other test results of the source uniformity and length, if  
1243 applicable.
- 1244 b. Technical records for well-type chamber calibrations shall include the following:
- 1245 1. A complete description of each standard source used for the calibration, including  
1246 the manufacturer, model, unique identifying information, isotope, encapsulation,  
1247 active length, physical dimensions, and the air kerma strength (or dose to water at  
1248 2 mm for beta-emitting sources) at a reference date and time;
- 1249 2. For eBT well-type chamber calibrations, a description of eBT source, including  
1250 physical dimensions and identification code (e.g., manufacturer make, model, and  
1251 serial number), and the eBT source conditions used, including air-kerma rate and  
1252 filament;
- 1253 3. A description of the source holder or device used to support the source;
- 1254 4. The orientation of the source and the distance from the chamber top or bottom;
- 1255 5. The method and instrumentation used to determine the exposure timing;
- 1256 6. The temperature in or at the well-chamber (for correction of unsealed well  
1257 chambers);
- 1258 7. Barometric pressure in the measurement area (for correction of unsealed well  
1259 chambers);
- 1260 8. Relative humidity (for correction of unsealed well chambers);
- 1261 9. The results of the atmospheric communication test, if performed (chambers sealed  
1262 to atmospheric communication should be documented as such);
- 1263 10. The system leakage, if appropriate;
- 1264 11. Ion collection efficiency, if possible;
- 1265 12. Reproducibility tests on the support device;
- 1266 13. For beta-emitting IVBT sources, a description of the wall material and thickness of  
1267 the source support used in the chamber;
- 1268 14. For calibrations with non-HDR sources, results of measurements with the source  
1269 oriented in both vertical positions (flipped top-to-bottom).
- 1270 c. Rejection of brachytherapy sources submitted for calibration should consider the  
1271 following:
- 1272 1. If the source has removable radioactive contamination above accepted limits it  
1273 may be subject to rejection;
- 1274 2. If the source description provided by the client is insufficient to meet the records  
1275 and reporting requirements, it may be subject to rejection;
- 1276 3. If the source strength differs from expected values by more than 5.0 %, the source  
1277 may be subject to rejection.
- 1278 d. Rejection of brachytherapy well-type chambers submitted for calibration should consider  
1279 the following:
- 1280 1. If the calibration coefficient differs from past or expected values by more than  
1281 3.0 %, the cause should be investigated and the chamber may be subject to  
1282 rejection;
- 1283 2. If the collection efficiency of the ionization chamber is less than 99 %, the chamber  
1284 may be subject to rejection;
- 1285 3. If the leakage of the chamber exceeds 0.5 % of the signal, a warning should be



- 1286 issued and if it exceeds 2.0 %, the chamber may be subject to rejection;  
 1287 4. For intravascular well-*type chambers*, the axial response of the active volume must  
 1288 be uniform to within  $\pm 3$  % over the entire length of the source train or wire;  
 1289 5. Well chambers without adequate source holders or support devices are  
 1290 immediately subject to rejection.  
 1291 e. The calibration position should be so located that scattered radiation will not introduce a  
 1292 measurement error inconsistent with calibration uncertainty goals.  
 1293 f. Prior to acceptance of a well-type chamber for calibration, the ADCL must ensure that the  
 1294 chamber design (flat axial response, for example) and the source-positioning apparatus  
 1295 allow calibration to be performed for the desired source to within the laboratory  
 1296 uncertainty goals. Calibrations shall be performed only for axial/linear source inserts.

1297 **6.5.3 Calibration Service Quality Requirements:**

- 1298 a. Per Section 6.1.3, uncertainty limits and recommended intervals for PT/RRs for  
 1299 calibration of LDR brachytherapy sources and well chambers, HDR brachytherapy well  
 1300 chambers, and well chambers for IVB are as follows:

Instrument and/or Source		Cal Coeff	Expanded (k=2) uncertainty		PT/RR
			ADCL (%)	Total (%)	Nominal Interval (y)
Brachytherapy LDR Sources	<sup>137</sup> Cs	S <sub>K</sub>	≤ 1.2	≤ 2.3	4
	<sup>131</sup> Cs, <sup>125</sup> I, <sup>192</sup> Ir, <sup>103</sup> Pd	S <sub>K</sub>	≤ 1.6	≤ 2.5	4
Brachytherapy LDR Well Chambers	<sup>131</sup> Cs, <sup>137</sup> Cs, <sup>125</sup> I, <sup>192</sup> Ir, <sup>103</sup> Pd	N <sub>SK</sub>	≤ 1.8	≤ 2.5	4
Brachytherapy eBT Well Chambers	Consult NIST	N <sub>K</sub>	≤ 3.6	≤ 4.0	-
Brachytherapy HDR Well Chambers	HDR <sup>192</sup> Ir	N <sub>SK</sub>	≤ 2.0	≤ 3.0	4
IVBT Well Chambers		N <sub>Dw</sub>	≤ 5	≤ 15	-

1301 **6.5.3.1 Regarding calibration of LDR sources:**

- 1302 a. An ADCL shall calibrate short half-life encapsulated radioactive sources using  
 1303 instruments calibrated with sources of the same isotope, manufacturer, model and  
 1304 encapsulation that have been calibrated by NIST.  
 1305 b. An ADCL shall calibrate long half-life encapsulated radioactive sources with sources of  
 1306 the same isotope and similar encapsulation and geometry that have been calibrated by  
 1307 NIST.

1308 **6.5.4 Reporting of Calibration Results**

- 1309 a. In addition to the general information required described in Section 6.1.4, the  
1310 following information is also to be recorded by the ADCL for calibrations of LDR  
1311 sources:
- 1312 1. Description of source including manufacturer, isotope, physical dimensions,  
1313 material and thickness of encapsulation, and model and unique identifiers (or  
1314 other identifying marks), and the calibration of the source;
  - 1315 2. The calibration of photon emitting sources shall be expressed in terms of air  
1316 kerma strength at 1 meter from the source with units of ( $\mu\text{Gy}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{h}$ ) measured  
1317 in a plane which is the perpendicular bisector of the long axis of the source  
1318 and reported at a reference date and time. At the discretion of the ADCL,  
1319 additional calibration coefficients may be reported in other historical units.
- 1320 b. In addition to the general information required described in Section 6.1.4, the  
1321 following information is also to be recorded by the ADCL for calibrations of well-type  
1322 ionization chambers:
- 1323 1. For LDR and HDR well-type chamber calibrations, a complete description of  
1324 the standard source used for calibration including the isotope, manufacturer,  
1325 model, encapsulation, active length, serial number or lot number, and the air  
1326 kerma strength on the date of chamber calibration with the associated  
1327 uncertainties;
  - 1328 2. For eBT well-type chamber calibrations, a complete description of eBT source  
1329 used for calibration, including identification code (e.g., manufacturer make,  
1330 model, and serial number), and the eBT source conditions used, including air-  
1331 kerma rate and filament current;
  - 1332 3. An indication of whether the chamber is sealed or open to the atmosphere;
  - 1333 4. A description of the source holder or support device and axial location of source  
1334 placement during calibration;
  - 1335 5. A description of any special conditions (e.g. shield, etc.);
  - 1336 6. The ion collection efficiency, if possible;
  - 1337 7. The polarizing potential, if available for measurement;
  - 1338 8. The system pre-irradiation leakage or background current, if appropriate;
  - 1339 9. A complete description of the calibration coefficient and its use:
    - 1340 i. The calibration coefficient for the well-type ionization chamber for radioactive  
1341 sources shall be expressed in terms of air kerma strength at 1 meter from  
1342 the source with units of  $\mu\text{Gy}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{h}$ ;
    - 1343 ii. The calibration coefficient for the well-type ionization chamber for eBT  
1344 sources shall be expressed in terms of air-kerma rate ( $\mu\text{Gy}/\text{min}$ ) at 50 cm  
1345 per ampere,  $\mu\text{Gy}/\text{min}/\text{A}$ .
  - 1346 10. Appropriate log references;
  - 1347 11. Such other information as may be deemed appropriate;
  - 1348

1349 **III. APPENDICES**

1350 **Appendix A Guidelines for Uncertainty Assessment**

1351 Estimations of uncertainty for ADCL calibrations shall be determined according to “Uncertainty  
1352 of calibrations in accredited dosimetry calibration measurements.” (Ibbott, Attix, Slowey,  
1353 Fontenla, & Rozenfeld, 1997) and “A dosimetric uncertainty analysis for photon-emitting  
1354 brachytherapy sources: Report of AAPM Task Group No. 138 and GEC-ESTRO” (DeWerd et  
1355 al., 2011).

1356

1357 **Appendix B Calibration Report Handling Requirements**

1358 **Purpose:**

1359 In accordance with AAPM and ISO 17025 requirements, calibration reports must be archived in  
1360 a secure manner, which is readily accessible. The requirements for electronic storage of signed  
1361 calibration reports are as follows:

1362 **Report Storage and Archive:**

- 1363 1. Reports will be signed and sent to the client.
- 1364 2. Digital copies of signed reports will be archived.
- 1365 3. Archived reports shall not be edited.
- 1366 4. Reports shall be stored in such a way that they can be recalled on demand for a period  
1367 of 10 years after the report signature date.
- 1368 5. Report storage/archive systems shall maintain client confidentiality.
- 1369

1370 **Appendix C AAPM Recommendations for Components of Non-Standard Methods**

1371 **Purpose:**

1372 Situations occur in which an ADCL is requested to perform an in-scope calibration, however, the  
1373 calibration cannot be accomplished using standard operating procedures. This section provides  
1374 supplemental requirements for in-scope calibrations accomplished with non-standard operating  
1375 procedures.

1376

1377 **Requirements:**

- 1378 a. In-scope calibrations which cannot be performed with standard operating procedures shall  
1379 have the alternative operating procedures developed prior to calibration.
- 1380 b. The uncertainty analysis of the alternative procedure shall be documented.
- 1381 c. The alternative procedure shall be appended to the laboratory's operating procedures.
- 1382 d. The procedure and associated documentation should contain at least the following:
- 1383 1. appropriate identification;
- 1384 2. scope;
- 1385 3. description of the type of item to be tested or calibrated;
- 1386 4. parameters or quantities and ranges to be determined;
- 1387 5. apparatus and equipment, including technical performance requirements;
- 1388 6. reference standards and reference materials required;
- 1389 7. environmental conditions required and any stabilization period needed;
- 1390 8. description of the procedure including:
- 1391 1) affixing of identification marks, handling, transporting, storing and preparation  
1392 of items
- 1393 2) checks to be made before work is started
- 1394 3) checks that the equipment is working properly and, where required, calibration  
1395 and adjustment of the equipment before each use
- 1396 4) the method of recording the observations and results
- 1397 5) any safety measures to be observed
- 1398 9. criteria and/or requirements for approval/rejection;
- 1399 10. data to be recorded and method of analysis and presentation;
- 1400 11. the uncertainty or procedure for measuring uncertainty.
- 1401

1402  
1403

1404 **Appendix D AAPM Accreditation Certificate**

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION of PHYSICISTS IN MEDICINE

*Certificate of Accreditation*

*This is to certify that*


*has successfully fulfilled all requirements that are in compliance with the AAPM Criteria  
and is hereby acknowledged to be an*

**Accredited Dosimetry Calibration Laboratory**

*by approval of the AAPM Board of Directors  
for the term beginning on the first day of*

\_\_\_\_\_, *and ending* \_\_\_\_\_

<i>Chair, Calibration Laboratory Accreditation Subcommittee</i>	<i>AAPM President</i>
<i>Chair, Calibration Laboratory Accreditation Executive Committee</i>	<i>AAPM Executive Director</i>



Accredited Dosimetry  
Calibration Laboratory®

1405  
1406

1407 **Appendix E AAPM ADCL Logo**

1408 The AAPM Logo is shown below. The AAPM Policy for the accreditation symbol's use is given  
1409 in the sub-points of 4.6.1 g.



1410

1411

- 1412 **Appendix F GENERAL TERMS AND DEFINITIONS**
- 1413 **AAPM Secretariat**
- 1414 The AAPM employed liason whose responsibilities are outlined in the Laboratory Accreditation  
1415 Program Quality Manual.
- 1416 **Accredited Dosimetry Calibration Laboratory (ADCL)**
- 1417 A laboratory accredited by the American Association of Physicists in Medicine under these  
1418 Criteria whose secondary standards are *directly traceable* to NIST.
- 1419 **ADCL Comparison / ADCL Intercomparison**
- 1420 A comparison of similar calibration standards maintained by each ADCL to the other AAPM  
1421 ADCLs.
- 1422 Note 1: The ADCL Comparison is also referred to as a round robin (RR).
- 1423 **Air Kerma,  $K$**
- 1424 The quotient of  $dE_{tr}$  by  $dm$  where  $dE_{tr}$  is the sum of the initial kinetic energies of all the charged  
1425 ionizing particles liberated by uncharged ionizing particles in air of mass  $dm$ . (IEC 60731,  
1426 2016)(3.31)
- 1427 Note 1: The unit of air kerma is Gy (where  $1 \text{ Gy} = 1 \text{ J}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ).
- 1428 Note 2: This definition is derived from the definition in C.6 of ICRU 33 (ICRU 33, 1987),
- 1429 **Air Kerma Rate**
- 1430 The *air kerma* per unit time.
- 1431 **Beam Quality**
- 1432 A descriptor or series of descriptors sufficient to distinguish an x-ray/photon energy spectrum.
- 1433 Note 1: For x-ray beams, the descriptor(s) shall distinguish incident electron energy on target  
1434 (including waveform time dependence), x-ray target material, and x-ray beam filtration.
- 1435 Note 2: Descriptors may include kVp, waveform, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> HVLs of a stated *Certified Reference*  
1436 *Material*, homogeneity coefficient, isotope, or other relevant quantities.



- 1437 **Calibration Range / Calibrated Range**
- 1438 The region within which the *calibration coefficient* of a measured quantity is valid, expressed by  
1439 stating the lower and upper values.
- 1440 **Calibration**
- 1441 The set of operations that establishes, under specified conditions, the *calibration coefficient*.
- 1442 **Calibration Coefficient**
- 1443 The ratio of the true value of a quantity as determined by a measurement standard having a  
1444 documented relation to a national standard and the indication or quantity produced by the  
1445 measuring instrument at the time of calibration.
- 1446 **Calibration Laboratory**
- 1447 A laboratory that performs calibrations, accredited or otherwise.
- 1448 **Certified Reference Material (CRM)**
- 1449 (A) *reference material*, characterized by a metrologically valid approach for one or more  
1450 specified properties, accompanied by an RM certificate that provides the values of the specified  
1451 properties, associated uncertainties, and statements of metrological traceability. ((JGCM 200,  
1452 2012) (JGCM WG2-CD-01, 2021))
- 1453 **Directly Traceable / Direct Traceability**
- 1454 *Traceable* with a single step in the calibration chain.
- 1455 Note 1: Directly traceable to NIST indicates that the instrument or source was calibrated at NIST  
1456 with respect to NIST primary standards.
- 1457 **Dosimeter**
- 1458 Equipment that uses ionization chambers or other radiation detectors to measure air kerma,  
1459 absorbed dose or exposure and/or their corresponding rates.
- 1460 **Dosimetry System**
- 1461 A system composed of a *dosimeter* and a readout device such as an electrometer.
- 1462 **Electronic Brachytherapy**

1463 Electronic brachytherapy is a form of radiotherapy delivered locally, using a miniaturized  
1464 electronic x-ray source which is inserted into the body.

1465 **Exposure, X**

1466 (The) quotient of  $dQ$  by  $dm$  where  $dQ$  is the absolute value of the total charge of the ions of one  
1467 sign produced in air when all the electrons (negatrons and positrons) liberated by photons in air  
1468 of mass  $dm$  are completely stopped in air. (IEC 60731, 2016) (3.32)

1469 Note 1: This quantity is expressed in coulombs per kilogram (C/kg). Formerly it was expressed  
1470 in roentgens R ( $1 \text{ R} = 2.58 \times 10^{-4} \text{ C} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ).

1471 **Field Class**

1472 An instrument (dosimeter, ionization chamber, or electrometer) whose performance and stability  
1473 are sufficient for it to be used to make ordinary routine measurements.

1474 **High Dose Rate (HDR) Brachytherapy Source**

1475 Gigabecquerel (GBq) or curie levels of activity producing microgray per second air kerma rates  
1476 at one meter. These sources are intended to be remotely inserted through a catheter into the  
1477 patient for a relatively short period of time.

1478 **Homogeneity Coefficient (HC)**

1479 The ratio of the first HVL to the second HVL, expressed as a fraction or percentage.

1480 **Intravascular Brachytherapy (IVB)**

1481 The treatment of a blood vessel wall with a beta or gamma emitting source for the purpose of  
1482 reducing the rate of re-stenosis of the vessel after PTCA (balloon angioplasty).

1483 **IVB Source**

1484 A beta or gamma emitting source used for IVB characterized by a small diameter, used over an  
1485 extended active length ( $>20$  mm) or remotely controllable position and physically attached to a  
1486 catheter, wire or other device to position the source within the active target region of the vessel  
1487 wall.

1488 **Intravascular Well-type Chamber**

1489 A well type chamber for IVB source calibrations.

1490 **kilo-Voltage peak (kVp)**

1491 A specification of the voltage applied across a diagnostic x-ray tube

1492 **Low Dose Rate (LDR) brachytherapy source**

1493 Brachytherapy sources intended to be implanted permanently or for a period of days and then  
1494 removed at a prescribed time.

1495 **Management System**

1496 (S)et of interrelated or interacting elements of an organization to establish policies and objectes  
1497 and processes to achieve those objectives. (ISO 9000, 2015)

1498 Note 1: The manage system elements establish the organization's structure, roles and  
1499 responsibilities, planning, operation, policies, practices, rules, beliefs,objectives and processes  
1500 to achieve those objectives. (Note 2 in (ISO 9000, 2015))

1501 **Measured Value**

1502 Best estimate of the true value of a quantity, being derived from the indicated value of an  
1503 instrument together with the application of all relevant correction factors and the calibration factor  
1504 ((IEC 60731, 2016), 3.5)

1505 **Method**

1506 A documented systematic technical procedure.

1507 **National Standard**

1508 (A) standard recognized by an official national decision as the basis for fixing the values and  
1509 uncertainties in that country of all other standards of the given quantity. ((IEC 60731, 2016),  
1510 3.4.1.1)

1511 **Nonconformance**

1512 (A)ny deviation from standard practice or operation that renders the quality of a calibration/test  
1513 unacceptable([NIST RPD-G-07](#)).

1514 Note 1: nonconformance includes typographical or technical error(s) in a calibration report which  
1515 could cause an error in the use of the calibration results, an error in a calibration report or  
1516 certificate exceeds the laboratory uncertainty goals, an error due to equipment malfunction, a  
1517 calculation error, and related errors which affect calibration results.

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

1518 Note 2: AAPM supplemental requirements (section 5.2.2) include deviations from the resource,  
1519 process, management, or technical requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 (e), these Criteria, the  
1520 laboratory quality manual, and laboratory technical manual in its definition of non-conformance.

1521 **Proficiency Test**

1522 Evaluation of the laboratory calibration or testing performance by means of an interlaboratory  
1523 comparison, with NIST as the reference standard.

1524 **Protocol**

1525 The set of laboratory documents and records that cover accredited technical operations,  
1526 including but not limited to the scope of accreditation, the quality manual, the technical manual,  
1527 and any other technical or customer-related procedures used for accredited operations.”

1528 **Quality Manual**

1529 (S)pecification of the quality management system of an organization (ISO 9000, 2015).

1530 Note 1: The quality manual document includes portions of the organization’s protocol which deal  
1531 specifically with the policy, management, systems, practices and procedures for quality  
1532 assurance and quality control. The quality manual may refer to other documentation relating to  
1533 the organization’s quality arrangements.

1534 **Redundant / Redundancy**

1535 Provision of alternative (identical or diverse) elements in a system that enable the required  
1536 function regardless of the state of other operational elements.

1537 Note 1: Practically, redundancy is the systematic duplication of reference standards,  
1538 measurements and/or procedures for the express purpose of obtaining independent calibration  
1539 and/or ADCL comparison results that validate and confirm the continued use of the initial results.

1540 **Reference-Class Device or Instrument**

1541 A device or instrument having sufficient accuracy, precision, and long-term stability for it to be  
1542 used to calibrate other (field-class) instruments within a specified uncertainty interval.

1543 **Reference Standard**

1544 (A) standard generally of the highest metrological quality available at a given location or in a  
1545 given organization, from which measurements made there are derived (IEC 60050, 2022).

1546 Note 1: ADCL reference standards are calibrated at NIST.

1547 **Reference Material (RM)**

1548 (M)aterial, sufficiently homogeneous and stable with reference to one or more specified  
1549 properties, which has been established to be fit for its intended use in measurement or in  
1550 examination. (JGCM 200, 2012) (JGCM WG2-CD-01, 2021)

1551 Note 1: Reference materials can be *certified reference materials* or reference materials without  
1552 a certified property value.

1553 Note 2: For a reference material to be used as a measurement standard for calibration purposes  
1554 it needs to be a *certified reference material*

1555 Note 3: In a given measurement, a given reference material can only be used for either  
1556 calibration or quality assurance.

1557 **Round Robin (RR)**

1558 See ADCL Comparison

1559 **Secondary Standard**

1560 (M)easurement standard established through calibration with respect to a primary measurement  
1561 standard for a quantity of the same kind (JGCM 200, 2012) (JGCM WG2-CD-01, 2021).

1562 **Secretariat**

1563 An AAPM employee whose roles and responsibilities are defined in the AAPM Quality Manual  
1564 of the Laboratory Accreditation Program

1565 **Standard**

1566 (An) instrument that defines, represents physically, maintains or reproduces the unit of  
1567 measurement of a quantity (or a multiple or sub-multiple of that unit) in order to transfer it to  
1568 other instruments comparison. ((IEC 60731, 2016), 3.4.1)

1569 Note 1: a radiation source can be a standard

1570 **Traceable / Traceability**

1571 (P)roperty of the result of a measurement or of the value of a standard such that it can be related  
1572 to stated references, usually national or international standards, through an unbroken chain of  
1573 comparisons all having stated uncertainties. ([IEC 60050, 311-01-15](#))

1574 Note 1: Unless otherwise noted, traceable utilizes NIST as the national standard.

1575 **Working Standard**

1576 A standard which, usually calibrated against a reference standard, is used routinely to calibrate  
1577 or check material measures, measuring instruments or reference materials  
1578 (<https://www.electropedia.org/>).

1579 Note 1: The working standard device will have operational characteristics (stability,  
1580 reproducibility) of a reference-class device.

1581 Note 2: A Working Standard is referred to as a Transfer Standard in prior Criteria revisions.

1582

1583 **Appendix G UNCERTAINTY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS**

1584 **ADCL Component of the Uncertainty**

1585 The portion of the expanded combined uncertainty that arises solely at the ADCL. The  
1586 component arising from the NIST calibration of the ADCL reference or working standard is not  
1587 included in this value.

1588 **Measurement Uncertainty**

1589 (N)on negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed  
1590 to a measurand, based on the information used. (JGCM 200, 2012)

1591 **Standard Measurement Uncertainty / Standard Uncertainty**

1592 (M)easurement uncertainty expressed as a standard deviation. (JGCM 200, 2012)

1593 **Combined Standard Measurement Uncertainty / Combined Standard Uncertainty**

1594 (S)tandard measurement uncertainty that is obtained using the individual standard measurement  
1595 uncertainties associated with the input quantities in a measurement model.

1596 Note: In case of correlations of input quantities in a measurement model, covariances must also  
1597 be taken into account when calculating the combined standard measurement uncertainty  
1598 (JGCM 200, 2012).

1599 **Expanded Measurement Uncertainty / Expanded Uncertainty**

1600 (P)roduct of a combined standard measurement uncertainty and a factor larger than the number  
1601 one

1602 Note 1: The factor depends upon the type of probability distribution of the output quantity in a  
1603 measurement model and on the selected coverage probability.

1604 Note 2: The term “factor” in this definition refers to a coverage factor.

1605 (JGCM 200, 2012)

1606 **Coverage Factor k**

1607 (N)umber larger than one by which a combined standard measurement uncertainty is multiplied  
1608 to obtain an expanded measurement uncertainty (JGCM 200, 2012)

1609 **Measurand**

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

1610 (Q)uantity intended to be measured (JGCM 200, 2012)

1611



1612 **IV. REFERENCES**

- 1613 Almond, P. R., Biggs, P. J., Coursey, B. M., Hanson, W. F., Huq, M. S., Nath, R., & Rogers, D.  
1614 W. (1999). AAPM's TG-51 protocol for clinical reference dosimetry of high-energy photon  
1615 and electron beams. *Medical Physics*, 26(9), 1847–1870. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.598691>
- 1616 DeWerd, L. A., Ibbott, G. S., Meigooni, A. S., Mitch, M. G., Rivard, M. J., Stump, K. E., ...  
1617 Venselaar, J. L. M. (2011). A dosimetric uncertainty analysis for photon-emitting  
1618 brachytherapy sources: Report of AAPM Task Group No. 138 and GEC-ESTRO. *Medical*  
1619 *Physics*, 38(2), 782–801. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.3533720>
- 1620 Ibbott, G. S., Attix, F. H., Slowey, T. W., Fontenla, D. P., & Rozenfeld, M. (1997). Uncertainty  
1621 of calibrations at the accredited dosimetry calibration laboratories. *Medical Physics*, 24(8),  
1622 1249–1254. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.598146>
- 1623 ICRU 33. (1987). *Radiation quantities and units. ICRU report 33*. Retrieved from  
1624 [https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig\\_q=RN:25005999](https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:25005999)
- 1625 IEC 60050. (2022). IEC 60050 - International Electrotechnical Vocabulary - Details for IEC  
1626 number 311-04-04: “reference standard.” Retrieved September 9, 2022, from  
1627 <https://www.electropedia.org/iev/iev.nsf/display?openform&ievref=311-04-04>
- 1628 IEC 60731. (2016). *IEC 60731:2011+AMD1:2016 CSV: Medical electrical equipment -*  
1629 *Dosimeters with ionization chambers as used in radiotherapy*. Retrieved from  
1630 <https://webstore.iec.ch/publication/24481>

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

- 1631 ISO/IEC 17025. (2017). ISO/IEC 17025: General requirements for the competence of testing  
1632 and calibration. In *International Organization for Standardization* (Vol. 2017).
- 1633 ISO 9000. (2015). ISO 9000:2015(en) Quality management systems — Fundamentals and  
1634 vocabulary. *Geneva (Switzerland): International Organization for Standardization*, Vol. 4,  
1635 p. 53. Retrieved from [https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:9000:ed-](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:9000:ed-4:v1:en)  
1636 [4:v1:en](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso:9000:ed-4:v1:en)
- 1637 JGCM 200. (2012). *JGCM 200:2012 International vocabulary of metrology - Basic and general*  
1638 *concepts and associated terms (VIM) 3rd edition*.
- 1639 JGCM WG2-CD-01. (2021). JGCM-WG2-CD-01: International Vocabulary of Metrology.  
1640 Retrieved September 9, 2022, from  
1641 [https://www.bipm.org/documents/20126/54295284/VIM4\\_CD\\_210111c.pdf/a57419b7-](https://www.bipm.org/documents/20126/54295284/VIM4_CD_210111c.pdf/a57419b7-790f-2cca-f7c9-25d54d049bf6)  
1642 [790f-2cca-f7c9-25d54d049bf6](https://www.bipm.org/documents/20126/54295284/VIM4_CD_210111c.pdf/a57419b7-790f-2cca-f7c9-25d54d049bf6)
- 1643 Ma, C.-M., Coffey, C. W., DeWerd, L. a, Liu, C., Nath, R., Seltzer, S. M., & Seuntjens, J. P.  
1644 (2001). AAPM protocol for 40–300 kV x-ray beam dosimetry in radiotherapy and  
1645 radiobiology. *Medical Physics*, 28(6), 868–893. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.1374247>  
1646

1647 **V. Acknowledgement**

1648 The AAPM Calibration Laboratory Accreditation Subcommittee wishes to express its gratitude  
1649 to the individuals below for their contributions to the preparation of this Criteria. Specific  
1650 acknowledgements are listed in the notes below.

1651 **Revisions up to and including the 2007 Criteria Revision**

1652 Peter Balter, Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, UT MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX  
1653 Larry Bryson, M.S.<sup>3</sup>, K&S Associates, Inc., Nashville, TN  
1654 Frank Cerra<sup>2</sup>, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Rockville, MD  
1655 Bert M. Coursey, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD  
1656 Larry A. DeWerd, Ph.D.<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI  
1657 Steven J. Goetsch, Ph.D.<sup>1,4</sup>, San Diego Gamma Knife Center, San Diego, CA  
1658 William F. Hanson, Ph.D.<sup>1,4</sup>, UT MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX  
1659 Tom Heaton<sup>2</sup>, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Rockville, MD  
1660 Geoffrey S. Ibbott, Ph.D.<sup>4</sup>, UT MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX  
1661 Thomas James LoSasso, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY  
1662 John Micka, B.S.<sup>3</sup>, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI  
1663 Michelle O'Brien, M.S.<sup>2</sup>, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD  
1664 Ken R. Shortt, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, ON  
1665 William E. Simon, M.S.<sup>1,2</sup>, Sun Nuclear Corporation, Melbourne, FL  
1666 John J. Spokas, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Benedictine University, Lisle, IL  
1667 Jileen Shobe<sup>1</sup>, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD  
1668 Thomas W. Slowey, P.E.<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, K&S Associates, Inc., Nashville, TN  
1669 Louis K. Wagner<sup>2</sup>, University of Texas Houston Medical School, Houston, TX

1670  
1671 <sup>1</sup>Criteria for Accreditation of Absorbed Dose to Water Calibrations with Ionization Chambers for Radiation  
1672 Therapy

1673 <sup>2</sup>Criteria for Accreditation of Air Kerma Calibrations for Diagnostic X-ray Systems

1674 <sup>3</sup>Criteria for Accreditation of Electrometer Calibrations

1675 <sup>4</sup>Guidelines for Rejection of Instruments

1676

1677 **The 2023 Criteria Revision**

1678 The 2023 Criteria revision was authored by Ron Tosh, Ph.D., Jeffrey Siebers, Ph.D., and Jill  
1679 Moton, MBA based on restructuring proposed by Stephanie Lampe, who authored Section 5,  
1680 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS. The document was reviewed and edited by Paola Alvarez, MS,  
1681 Stephen Bazan, Wesley Culberson, Ph.D., Stephen Davis, Ph.D., Larry DeWerd, Ph.D.,

AAPM Laboratory Accreditation Program  
Criteria

1682 Geoffrey Ibbott, Ph.D., Grace Gwe-Ya Kim, Ph.D., Stephen Kry, Ph.D., Stephanie Lampe,  
1683 Malcolm McEwen, Ph.D., Michael Mitch, Ph.D., Bryan Muir, Ph.D., Wayne Newhauser, Ph.D.,  
1684 Tina Pike, Ph.D., Arman Sarfehina, Ph.D., Jucilene Stabin, Ph.D., Naresh Tolani, MS, Kim  
1685 Working, MS.

1686  
1687 **Revisions of the 2023 Criteria**  
1688 version 12.4.1 – October 2024 – updated acknowledgements