2001 AAPM Summer School Seattle, Washington

## **ACR R/F Phantom**

Charles R. Wilson, Ph.D., FACR Medical College of Wisconsin Milwaukee, Wisconsin



### ACR R/F Phantom

- This lecture has been approved for 1 hour of continuing medical physics education credits by CAMPEC.
- This lecture also has pending FDA approval for the treatment of a common condition affecting many individuals in the US.
- This condition will be named for those still awake at the end of this talk.

**INSOMNIA** !

## **R/F** Physics Subcommittee

Name Robert Dixon -Beth Schueler -Charles Wilson - Obiwon Kenobi Pam Wilcox Penny Butler Krista Bush \_

- Call Name **Captain Midnight** Wonder Woman **Xena Warrior Princess** 

- Goldilocks

**Buffy the Vampire Slayer** 

## Prototype Chest Accreditation Phantom





# Resting in Peace

## **R/F** Phantom Design Criteria

 Radiographically tissue equivalent PMMA and aluminum - weight: 12 to 30 pounds Build on existing, widely used phantoms CDRH chest and abdomen NEXT phantoms Modular design chest, abdomen and interventional programs Inexpensive and easy to interpret •

## **CDRH NEXT Abdomen Phantom**



ACR Barium Enema Quality Control Manual

## **CDRH Chest Phantom**



## **ACR R/F** Phantom Components



- 1. Test object plate (1 cm PMMA)
- 2. Aluminum plate (3/16")
- 3. PMMA block (7.6 cm)
- 4. PMMA block (7.6 cm)
- 5. PMMA block (4.1 cm)

## **ACR Chest Phantom**





## **ACR Abdomen Phantom**



Abdomen (overtable tube)



## ACR R/F Test Objects

A. Contrast Detail Pattern

4 rows – 6 columns

B. Copper mesh

9 patterns

9 patterns

C. Central aluminum disk

9 low contrast objects

D. Aluminum disk

Latitude test



## Phantom Image Evaluation Suggested Criteria (1)

High Contrast Mesh

Chest – 8 or better
Abdomen – 7 or better

Spot film – 5 or better
Central Aluminum Disk
Chest – 7 or better
Abdomen – 7 or better
Spot film – 6 or better



## Phantom Image Evaluation Suggested Criteria (2)

 Contrast Detail - Columns from left -4/4/3/2 Optical Density - Chest - 1.3 to 1.6 – Abdomen – 1.3 to 1.6 – Spot film – 1.0 to 1.8 Latitude Disk To be established





Suggested performance standard : All objects to the left of the dotted line are to be visible.

## Phantom Transmission Test Geometries



## Narrow Beam % Transmission CDRH and ACR Chest Phantoms



ACR ~ 2.5 cm more PMMA

## Scatter to Primary Ratio CDRH and ACR Chest Phantoms



## Wide Beam % Transmission CDRH and ACR Chest Phantoms



ACR: Air gap ~ 9 cm less

## **Technique Factors** (GE DR unit at 120 kVp)

ACR – Chest Phantom

2.5 mAs (0.126 mGy)

CDRH Chest Phantom

2.04 mAs (0.103 mGy)





## **Technique** Factor Comparison (GE DR unit at 120 kVp)

8 6

300

400

**sW** 4 ACR – Chest Phantom 100 200 - 2.5 mAs (0.126 mGy) Weight • 16 consecutive male patients – Averages: 201 lbs, 3.2 mAs, 0.16 mGy • 18 consecutive female patients – Averages: 173 lbs, 2.5 mAs, 0.126 mGy ACR Chest Phantom equivalent to ~ 170 lb man or woman

## CDRH and ACR Abdomen Phantoms



## Narrow Beam % Transmission CDRH and ACR Abdomen Phantoms



## Entrance Skin Air Kerma Dosimeter

Desirable Characteristics

- Precise
- Energy independent response
- Linear response with air kerma
- Accurate
- Choices
  - Thermoluminescent Dosimeter (TLD)
  - Optical Stimulated Luminescence (OSL)

# Luxel Personnel Dosimeter



## Preliminary Tests of Luxel Personnel Dosimeter

#### Energy response

Dosimeter pairs irradiated using different hvl beams 0.32, 0.67, 2.2, 4.2, 5.1 and 6.0 (mm Al)



Exposure response linear from 4 to 900 mR
Precision acceptable: ~ 10% @ 10 mR > 3% @ 100 mR

## Optical Stimulated Luminescence OSL

- Illuminate an irradiated crystal (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) with a given wavelength of light to initiate the movement of charge from trap sites to luminescence centers.
- Amount of luminescence is proportion to dose and amount of illumination (optical energy) imparted to the crystal.

### Conceptual Energy Diagram Following Irradiation



#### Conceptual Energy Diagram Delayed and Pulsed OSL Stimulation

#### Conduction Band

Retrapping in Metastable Shallow Trap

,ight

Stimulation

Partial Depopulation of Dosimetric and Deep Traps

**Luminescence** Center

Valence Band

## Luxel Dosimeter with Filter

LANDAUER 25-Jan-01 119

## **Dosimeter on Test Tool**



## Luxel Dosimeter Response Chest Phantom @120 kVp



## Luxel Accuracy vs Given Air Kerma Chest Phantom @ 120 kVp



## Given Air Kerma vs Luxel Reading Chest Phantom



## Luxel Dosimeter Response Abdomen Phantom @80 kVp



### **Dosimeter Precision**

- Two sets of 6 dosimeters were irradiated using 100 and 120 kVp beams. Dosimeters on top of phantom.
  - 100 kVp (6): 81.0 +/- 2.6 mrad (3.2 %)
  - 120 kVp (6): 36.4 +/- 1.5 mrad (4.2%)
- Pairs of dosimeters were irradiated at air kermas of ~ 9, 36, 90, 360 and 900 mGy using 80 and 120 kVp beams.

RMS Differences
- 80 kVp (5 pairs): 3.5%
- 120 kVp (5 pairs): 2.0%

### **Selection of Phantom Manufacturer**

 RFP sent to potential manufacturers
 RFP contained detailed specifications

 Materials
 Phantom and test object dimensions and tolerances
 i.e. hole depth for low contrast object: 0.068" +/-0.0005"

 Manufacturers submitted three phantoms for testing



## Selection of Phantom Manufacturer

 Phantoms evaluated by an independent medical physicist

- IMP chosen on basis of qualifications
- Availability of appropriate test equipment
- Quality of IMP evaluation of three prototype phantoms constructed by ACR

 Committee's choice of manufacture based on IMP's tests of the manufacturer's pre-production phantoms

### **Hole Depths in Central Aluminum Disk**

Actual)

Average Difference (Specified



## Pilot Accreditation Program Initial Phantom Data

 9 facilities participated in pilot accreditation program

Low Contrast Detail Objects

Entrance Air Kerma

## Contrast Detail Evolution Chest Phantom

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

3 physicists somewhat independently scored imagesExcellent agreement for 7 of 9 films

- 6 passed and 1 failed
- 2 disagreements

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Initial Experience With Abdomen Phantom

- Incorporated phantom into annual equipment checks
  - Data collection form is an Excel spreadsheet

•

- Automatically performs linear regression of kVp vs mR/mAs
- Computes ESE for abdomen and chest phantoms from kVp and mAs used
- Outliers are easily seen

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)